

**Paper: “A Goal Programming Model for Dispatching Trucks in an Underground Gold Mine”**

**Submitted: 03 August 2022**

**Accepted: 04 November 2022**

**Published: 30 November 2022**

Corresponding Author: Suliman Emdini Gliwan

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n36p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n36p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Yussef A. Awin

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Amina Wafik

# ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022*

## Yussef A. Awin

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-08-10 11:59 AM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**\*Yes, it is.**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\*It is sufficient.**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

There are a few grammatical errors. However, they do not affect the paper's core.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**\*It is straightforward to understand**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

**\*The details were shown to make it reader-friendly**

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**\*The conclusion reflected the importance of the paper, which succed the paper showed**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

**\*Literature Cited should be numbered and refer to each section that uses it.**

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3

- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

Reviewer Files

# Amina Wafik

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-10-13 10:13 AM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**\*yes**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\*yes**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

The English language needs revision by native speaker

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**\*yes**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

**\*yes**

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**\*yes the conclusion is accurate to the content**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

**\*yes**

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5



**Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.**

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The geographical location map of Area study is missed,

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**