

Paper: “Diversité et Éthologie des Mammifères sur Deux Îles du Nord du Parc National de Taï, Sud-Ouest, Côte d’Ivoire”

Submitted: 26 September 2022

Accepted: 17 November 2022

Published: 30 November 2022

Corresponding Author: Djaha André Koffi

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n36p148](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n36p148)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Bohoussou Kouakou Hilaire

Reviewer 2: Daniel Brice Nkontcheu Kenko

BOHOUSSOU Kouakou Hilaire

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-10-14 02:53 PM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

***Le titre du document est clair et est en harmonie avec le corps du texte.**

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

***Le résumé est également bien rédigé avec des objectifs, des méthodes et des résultats clairement présentés**

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

L'article est très bien rédigé avec très peu de faute grammatical dans le texte.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

***Les méthodes de l'étude sont clairement rédigées et bien présentées.**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

***Le texte de cet article est bien rédigé et facile à lire**

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

***la conclusion de cet article est bien rédigé et nous démontre que les objectifs de l'article ont été atteints**

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

***La majorité des références est recent. Les citations sont bien présentées et comprehensives**

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Mes observations sont dans le document ci-joint

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Reviewer Files