

**Paper: “Reconstitution Lithostratigraphique des Formations
Albiennes du Puits k1 du Bassin Offshore Ivoirien: Identification des
Réservoirs”**

Submitted: 04 July 2022

Accepted: 28 November 2022

Published: 30 November 2022

Corresponding Author: Assiè François Aristide KOUAO

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n36p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n36p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kouadio Cyrille YAO

Reviewer 2: Ouattara Ben Ismaël

Kouadio Cyrille YAO

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-11-04 02:15 PM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

***Le titre est clair et adapté au contenu de l'article**

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

***Le résumé présente clairement les objectifs la méthodologie et les résultats**

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Il existe des fautes rédactionnelles mineures qui n'entachent pas la qualité de l'article

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

***La méthodologie est clairement définie**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

***Le corps du manuscrit est clair en dépit de quelques fautes rédactionnelles**

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

***La conclusion résume bien les principaux résultats obtenus**

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

***Les références bibliographiques sont appropriées**

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Prière de prendre en compte les quelques observations portées sur le manuscrit.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Le manuscrit est original et apporte des connaissances très intéressante sur la lithostratigraphie de l'Albien du bassin sédimentaire ivoirien

Ouattara Ben Ismaël

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-11-11 10:11 PM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*** oui le titre est clair et correspond au contenu.**

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*** oui le résumé présente clairement l'objectif, la méthodologie et les résultats qui ont été bien exposés**

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*** oui**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*** le document ne contient pas d'erreur**

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*** oui la conclusion est exact et tient compte du contenu de l'article.**

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*** oui la référence est complète, appropriée et surtout à jour. les auteurs cités sont mentionnés dans la bibliographie.**

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject