

Paper: “Connaissances et Pratiques des Pharmaciens et Auxiliaires des Officines de Pharmacie de la Ville de Parakou Face aux Topiques Auriculaires en 2021”

Submitted: 19 September 2022

Accepted: 17 November 2022

Published: 30 November 2022

Corresponding Author: Fatioualabi Bouraima

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n36p294

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Clautaire Mwebi Ekengoue

Reviewer 2: Winga Foma

Reviewer 2: Patricia Randrianavony

winga foma

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-10-24 03:47 PM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

- concernait au lieu de concernant dans la phrase "L'enquête a été menée sur une période allant du 8 avril au 8 juillet 2021 et concernant les pharmaciens et...
-médicaux dans "....bénéfice financier et influencés fortement par les délégués médicaux....

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*Quelques précisions méthodologiques restent à faire:

- définir les notions de pharmacien et auxilliaire selon le pays d'étude
- comment s'est fait le remplissage ? immédiatement devant l'enquêteur ? préciser si c'était des questions ouvertes ou fermées

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

De petites précisions sont à faire:

-La figure 2 peut être traduite en une phrase dans le texte

-Que signifie Rp dans le tableau II ?

- dans la phrase "Cela pourrait s'expliquer par la différence de religion (religion musulmane « 61,6% au Burkina Faso et 90% Mali », traditions et cultures avec ces pays subsahariens portant une certaine restriction des femmes sur le plan professionnel", préciser le % de musulmans au Bénin. Et sources de ces données

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*-la référence 4 ne correspond pas à la bonne référence dans le texte.

-abréger les noms des revues

- le DOI n'est pas nécessaire si la référence est complète.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Travail bien conduit et écrit. Quelques précisions restent à faire pour améliorer le document:

- concernait au lieu de concernant dans la phrase "L'enquête a été menée sur une période allant du 8 avril au 8 juillet 2021 et concernant les pharmaciens et... médicaux dans "...bénéfice financier et influencés fortement par les délégués médicaux....

- définir les notions de pharmacien et auxilliaire selon le pays d'étude
- comment s'est fait le remplissage ? immédiatement devant l'enquêteur ? préciser si c'était des questions ouvertes ou fermées
- La figure 2 peut être traduite en une phrase dans le texte
- Que signifie Rp dans le tableau II ?
 - dans la phrase "Cela pourrait s'expliquer par la différence de religion (religion musulmane « 61,6% au Burkina Faso et 90% Mali », traditions et cultures avec ces pays subsahariens portant une certaine restriction des femmes sur le plan professionnel", préciser le % de musulmans au Bénin. Et sources de ces données
- la référence 4 ne correspond pas à la bonne référence dans le texte.
- abréger les noms des revues
 - le DOI n'est pas nécessaire si la référence est complète.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Clautaire Mwebi Ekengoue

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-10-18 03:20 AM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*Le titre est bien formulé

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*Le résumé est bien présenté

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Le texte est agréable à la lecture. Toutefois, quelques petites erreurs d'orthographies et de grammaires sont observées. Le texte doit être relue et corrigé.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*La méthodologie est bien présentée.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*Les résultats sont acceptables et bien présentés.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*La conclusion est en accord avec le texte. Bien que quelques manquements soient observés.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*Les références sont bien présentées.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Sujet de recherche d'actualité et bien élaboré pour l'évolution scientifique en Afrique dans le secteur de la Médecine. Bien vouloir prendre en compte les recommandations formulés qui pourront aidé à améliorer la qualité du travail.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:
Travail Acceptable Pour Publication.**

Patricia Randrianavony

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-10-18 03:20 AM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

* the title of the paper is clear and is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

* the abstract present the objects, methods and results

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

I have noticed very few grammatical and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

* the study methods are clearly explained.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

* The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

* the conclusion is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

* The list of references is comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

just 2 mistakes to be checked in the text, if not, it's an excellent paper

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: Travail Acceptable Pour Publication.