

Paper: “Utilisation des Services Obstétricaux Modernes au Togo: Approche par les Modèles Mixtes”

Submitted: 22 October 2022

Accepted: 19 December 2022

Published: 31 December 2022

Corresponding Author: Kodjo Yawo

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n40p10

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Oumar BAH,Mali

Reviewer 2: Segbedji Rene

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr Oumar BAH MA	
University/Country: Sup' Management - Mali	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Utilisation des services obstétricaux modernes au Togo : approche par les modèles mixtes	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1126/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>Le titre est très clair et en adéquation avec le reste de l'étude</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4

<i>L'abstract est clair et présente les différentes parties : objet, méthode et résultat de l'étude</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>Effectivement, il y a des fautes d'orthographe</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Les méthodes sont très bien expliquées et l'étude est très bien agencée</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>Les résultats de l'étude sont très clairement expliqués et ne présentent pas d'erreurs surtout dans les calculs</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>La conclusion et le résumé sont adaptés</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>La bibliographie est parfaite, toutes les citations sont visibles dans la bibliographie</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L'étude présente de nombreuses fautes d'orthographe.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 13 /12/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 16/12/2022
Manuscript Title: Utilisation des services obstétricaux modernes au Togo : approche par les modèles mixtes	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/ No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes /No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: