

Paper: “Interaction between Food and Anticoagulants in Patients with Cardiovascular Diseases”

Submitted: 10 October 2022

Accepted: 15 December 2022

Published: 31 December 2022

Corresponding Author: Rudina Prifti

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n40p133](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n40p133)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Alma Idrizi

Reviewer 2: Luisa Zanolla

Alma Idrizi

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-10-25 08:34 PM

Recommendation: See Comments

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

***Yes, the title is clear and appropriate with the text.**

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

***Yes, it's concise and clear.**

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes, there are some grammatical errors that need to correct form the author.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

***The methods are clearly explained.**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*The construct of paper is correct.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

***Yes, the conclusion is a logical end of paper.**

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

***References are recent, appropriate**

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

To correct the grammatical errors

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The article is interesting for publishing.

Luisa Zanolla

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-11-21 08:26 AM

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper.

You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

***No, the paper deals only with food interactions on anticoagulant therapy, and thus the title should be more focused**

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

***The abstract deals in a generic way with food-drug interactions, with no reference to the paper content**

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are spelling mistakes and probably need a native language speaker review

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

***Page 3 line 10: the complete list of covariates should be reported; however, no analysis appears to have been done on these data.**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

***Page 4 line 4: if all patients are on anticoagulant drug, at least for hypertensive heart disease the real cause should have been atrial fibrillation. Please clarify indications for treatment.**

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content

***The conclusion deals again with generic food-drug interactions, while only anticoagulant therapy was assessed**

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

***The references are many (too many), and appropriate. The format of the references should be checked according to ESJ rules**

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The paper is long but has a very limited informative content. Probably the Author should rewrite it in a format like a research letter, focusing strictly on anticoagulant-food interaction and reducing the number of references

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The paper is long and discusses about generic food-drug interactions, but has a very limited informative content. The core of the paper is a survey on a small number of patients on anticoagulant therapy, checking their knowledge on food interaction with anticoagulant treatment.

Probably the Author should rewrite the paper in a format like a research letter, focusing strictly on anticoagulant-food interaction and reducing the number of references