EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute ESI
10 YEARS

Paper: **"Étude Comparative des Modalités de la Prise en Change Périopératoire Nutritionnelle Lors de la Chirurgie Digestive à Soissons (France) et à Tanambao (Madagascar)"**

Submitted: 30 August 2022
Accepted: 23 December 2022
Published: 31 December 2022

Corresponding Author: Tolotry Ny Avo Saraha Njatomalala

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n40p287

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Silue Donakpo

Reviewer 2: Jean Luc Kambire

SILUE DONAKPO

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.
Completed: 2022-12-10 10:40 PM
Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

***TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

***The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

But the method section does not present a sample

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

***The study METHODS are explained clearly.**
But the method section does not present a sample

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

***The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**
But needs corrections (see tables)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

***The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

***The list of REFERENCES must adapt a single standard (see ABS standard)**
Voir la norme APA

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ◉ 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

We suggest that the author revise the summary, the method section and especially the sampling. He should mark the sources of the tables

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Competing Interests

Nous acceptons l'instruction de cet article

Jean Luc KAMBIRE

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.
Completed: 2022-12-02 08:33 AM
Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

***Le titre est clair, cependant, juste une coquille à corriger.**

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

***Le résumé présente les objectifs, la méthodologie et les résultats. cependant, la méthodologie gagnerait à être revue, des observations ont été portées dans ce sens.**

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

En effet, ce manuscrit comporte beaucoup d'erreurs en termes de concordance de temps, en termes d'expression et aussi des fautes d'orthographes. Des corrections ont été formulées.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

***La méthodologie comporte quelques imperfections qui mériteraient corrections.**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

***La discussion reprend pour une large part les résultats, cette partie devrait être retravaillée. et les résultats intègrent bien souvent des commentaires.**

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

***La conclusion n'est pas compréhensible et mérite d'être retravaillée**

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

***Ok pour les références.**

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Toutes les observations sont contenues dans le texte.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Le sujet est fort intéressant, cependant difficultés de rédaction qui pourraient être corrigées en prenant en compte les observations portées sur le document.