

**Paper: “Edad Materna y su Asociación con el Estado Nutricional en el Menor de 5 Años”**

**Submitted: 03 November 2022**

**Accepted: 23 December 2022**

**Published: 31 December 2022**

Corresponding Author: Vianney Aguilar Castillo

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n40p304](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n40p304)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Nicolas Camacho Calderon

Reviewer 2: Eliazar Gonzalezcarrillo

Reviewer 3: Blinded

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

## 1161/22

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Eliazar Gonzalez Carrillo	
University/Country: Facultad de Enfermería de la Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua	
Date Manuscript Received: 17 /11/22	Date Review Report Submitted: 01/12/2022
Manuscript Title: Association of the Nutritional Status of Children under 5 years of age with Maternal Age	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/	

### Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>El título es claro coherente con el manuscrito y tiene menos de 15 palabras</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>

<i>Cuenta con todos los elementos y los expone con claridad</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Se encontraron algunos errores gramaticales que de acuerdo mi criterio fueron corregidos.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>La metodología está es su mayor parte correcta solo se hicieron adecuaciones con el propósito de darle orden según el método</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Si las tablas explican los resultados sin errores per solo me parece que la discusión debería ir en la parte inferior de las tablas.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Las conclusiones están correctas solo como se mencionaría que ir en la parte posterior de los resultados.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Las referencias son actuales y citadas correctamente en el texto</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Situar los resultado en forma continua y posteriormente la discusión y hacer una última revisión por si existieran errores.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

**Me parece buen artículo**