

Paper: “Efficacité des pièges à phéromones dans la gestion de *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Lepidoptera : Gelechiidae) et *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* (Lepidoptera : Tortricidae), ravageurs endocarpiques du cotonnier en Côte d’Ivoire”

Submitted: 02 November 2022

Accepted: 30 December 2022

Published: 31 December 2022

Corresponding Author: Kouakou Delphin Koffi

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n40p404](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n40p404)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Akaza Moroh Joseph, University/Country: Universit Jean Lorougnon Guédé / Côte D’ivoire

Reviewer 2: Beugré N'djiha Isabelle

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Reviewer Name: AKAZA Moroh Joseph | Email: |
| University/Country: Universit Jean Lorougnon Guédé / Côte d'Ivoire | |
| Date Manuscript Received: 16 décembre 2022 | Date Review Report Submitted: 23 décembre 2022 |
| Manuscript Title: Efficacité des pièges à phéromones dans la gestion de <i>Pectinophora gossypiella</i> (Lepidoptera : Gelechiidae) et <i>Thaumatotibia leucotreta</i> (Lepidoptera : Tortricidae), ravageurs endocarpiques du cotonnier en Côte d'Ivoire | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 1158 / 22 | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i> | <i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 5 |
| <i>(Le titre traduit assez clairement le contenu du manuscrit)</i> | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and | 2 |

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| results. | |
| <p><i>Le problème et l'objectif doivent être précisés.</i> <i>Le résumé compte 14 lignes, dont quatre seulement pour les résultats.</i> <i>Les résultats doivent être factuels.</i> <i>Ce résumé ne contient pas de conclusion. Non plus, il n'y a aucune phrase sur l'intérêt des résultats.</i></p> | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | |
| <p><i>(oui quelques fautes et Quelques phrases peu expressives</i></p> | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 3 |
| <p><i>(Des rectifications et précisions doivent être apportées, notamment au niveau des tests,</i></p> | |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 3 |
| <p><i>(Des rectifications et précisions doivent être appoerées, nptamment au niveau de : Test comparatif des types de pièges avec la phéromone trade tec spécifique à Pectinophora gossypiella Test comparatif des types de pièges avec la phéromone bio-system spécifique à Thaumatotibia leucotreta)</i></p> <p>Aussi, dans la Discussion <i>Tous les résultats ne sont pas discutés. Aussi, certains résultats ne sont pas discutés entièrement, complètement et plus approfondie. Des idées sont mal ou incomplètement exprimées. Les auteurs doivent être plus explicites : les phrases doivent doivent entières, complètes.</i></p> | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 5 |
| <p><i>La conclusion est excellemment présentée</i></p> | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 5 |
| <p><i>Toutes les références citées sont adéquates et listées</i></p> | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--------------------------------------------|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed | |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | X |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |

| | |
|--------|--|
| Reject | |
|--------|--|

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Chers collègues,

le travail contenu dans le présent manuscrit est intéressant et important. Sa conception, sa réalisation et la rédaction sont assez bonnes. Mais, il sera, j'en suis certain, plus valorisé si vous prenez en compte mes humbles remarques mentionnées dans ce rapport et dans le manuscrit. Merci pour votre compréhension.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Chers Editeurs, je vous remercie pour la consideration à mon égard en me confiant la revision de manuscrits.

Ce manuscrit traite d'un sujet très important et intéressant. La méthodologie est et les résultats assez bien présentés. Toutefois, quelques insuffisantes doivent être corrigées. Si elles sont fait, alors la valeur de travail et des résultats sera assez élevé. Ils doivent être publiés car serviront dans la gestion de ces réducteurs de production. Le bénéfice sera très important pour nous tous.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Reviewer Name: BEUGRE N'Djiha Isabelle | |
| University/Country: National Center for Agronomic Research/Côte d'Ivoire | |
| Date Manuscript Received: 21/12/2022 | Date Review Report Submitted: 27/12/2022 |

| |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Manuscript Title: Efficacité des pièges à phéromones dans la gestion de <i>Pectinophora gossypiella</i> (Lepidoptera : Gelechiidae) et <i>Thaumatotibia leucotreta</i> (Lepidoptera : Tortricidae), ravageurs endocarpiques du cotonnier en Côte d'Ivoire |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 1158/22 |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u> |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u> |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u> |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i> | <i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 4 |
| <i>(Already with the title we have an idea of the content of the manuscript)</i> | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 3 |
| <i>(The abstract clearly presents the objects, but not very well the methods and the results)</i> | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 4 |
| <i>(Some spelling mistakes were noted but almost no grammatical errors)</i> | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 3 |
| <i>(For me not really, because the traps are not well described, the device is not clear. All these details are in the revised manuscript)</i> | |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 4 |
| <i>(The results are clear but have some errors)</i> | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 3 |
| <i>(The conclusions or summary are accurate but not well supported by the content. Shortcomings remain)</i> | |

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 3 |
| <i>(Editorial effort to be made at the level of references, refer to the guide for authors of the journal)</i> | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--------------------------------------------|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed | |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | X |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Most of the fixes are in the hardware and methods. The authors must clearly present all the material used and explain the methodologies adopted to obtain the results that have been discussed. It is also necessary to review the bibliographical references.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The editor must ensure that all comments made have been taken into consideration before any possible publication of the manuscript.



6 REASONS TO PUBLISH WITH ESJ

- Visibility
- Agility
- Internationalisation
- Quality
- Transparency
- Open Access



EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL