### EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL 🗮 ESI

#### Paper: "Households' Involvement in Monitoring and Evaluation Decision-Making and Performance of Tanzania Conditional Cash Transfer Project"

YEARS

Submitted: 28 October 2022 Accepted: 27 December 2022 Published: 31 December 2022

Corresponding Author: Bernard Katerengabo

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n38p129

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kazimierz Albin Klosinski John Paul II Catholic University of Lublin, Poland

Reviewer 2: Abd Elrahman Elzahi Saaid Ali Senior Economist, IRTI/IDB

#### -----

Reviewer B: Recommendation: Revisions Required

\_\_\_\_\_

#### The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

#### The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

#### There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are not a grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

#### The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yez, the study METHODS are explained clearly.

#### The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Side 7, Table 2 is inexplicable - they incorrect are both the headline of the table and the side of the table.

Side 8, Table 3 is inexplicable - they incorrect are both the headline of the table and the side of the table.

Side 9, Table 5 is inexplicable - they incorrect are both the headline of the table and the side of the table.

Side 10, Table 6 is inezplicable - they incorrect are both the headline of the table and the side of the table.

Side 11, the application of the meaning necessary is "VC members" and "MO".

#### The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The CONCLUSION and RECOMMENDATIONS [for theory, for policy and practice, for further research] are interesting.

#### The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

#### Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

## Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

### Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

## Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

## Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1

### Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

## Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

#### **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

\_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer D: Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

### The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is too long and can be precise, as suggested in the uploaded draft.

### The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is clear, and it might need proofreading

#### There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

More grammatical and spelling mistakes. Proofreading highly recommended

#### The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methodology is reasonable

#### The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body needs proofreading, and it contains errors. Some suggestions have been uploaded

#### The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion and recommendation are accurate but must be rewritten for more readability. For example, the author might choose not to put more subtitles in this section.

#### The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are comprehensive, but they need to be revised and checked.

#### Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

## Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

### Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

## Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

## Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

## Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

### Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

## **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

# **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

As has been uploaded

\_\_\_\_\_