

Paper: “The Importance of Improving the Knowledge and Education of the Population Living in Rural Areas for the Creation of Sustainable Tourism Products and Services”

Submitted: 28 October 2022

Accepted: 01 December 2022

Published: 31 December 2022

Corresponding Author: Salome Bestaeva

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n39p37

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Maia Meladze
Grigol Robakidze University, Georgia

Reviewer 2: Savitri Patidar
Govt. S.B.P. College Dungarpur, Rajasthan

Reviewer 3: Ehsaneh Nejad Mohammad Nameghi
Islamic Azad University, Iran

Reviewer 4: Blinded

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title of article: The importance of improving the knowledge and education of the population living in rural areas for the creation of sustainable tourism products and services is clear and adequate to the context of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract presents objects, methods and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article:

1. People living in the city must live in an environmentally polluted environment (p. 4)
2. • Development of specific work programs, which will clearly describe where? and how, and in what quantity the training will be carried out. (p. 10)

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods and stages are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain fatal errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion mainly supported by the context.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is comprehensive and appropriate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer E:

Review report

Dr Savitri Patidar

Assistant Professor (Geography)

S.B.P. Govt. College Dungarpur (Raj.) India

“The importance of improving the knowledge and education of the population living in rural areas for the creation of sustainable tourism products and services.

“

Title: - title is too long. there should be need to re-write short and precise title rather than write whole objective in title.

Abstract: - abstract is again too larger need to write pinpoints summary

Key words: - key words write as word. Researcher write it in a sentence form. need to write as words.

Introduction: - the introduction part is lacking with the statement of the problem or research problem. objectives are not clearly and specifically written by scholar. some theoretical points are also not exactly written. there are grammatical errors and copyright issues.

Review of Literature: - this portion is not written in a hierarchical manner. some review part is not relevant to the topic. need to rewrite the review literature.

Methodology: - Methodological part is so poor. type of data, methods of data collection concerning to particular objective is not so clear. unit of study and data is precisely not expressed.

Results and Discussion: - the elaboration of this part is not so good. According to the methodology there has not been any kind of quantitative data used. The expression of data is only in percentage. Even the any kind of variable has also not

been used by scholar. Most of part having copy right issue. Appropriately this part having no outcomes found according to the data which scholar has been used.

Conclusion: - this part doesn't tell any summary. its only say yes education has require for rural truisms development

References: - references are not properly arranged in chronological manner. Need to re-write in alphabetical or year wise chronological manner with American or any other style.

Overall the scholar is trying to do his best however there should be need to re-write title, key-words, abstract etc. each part of the paper again. The paper should be accepted after re-write.

Recommendation: See Comments

Reviewer G:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

the title is appropriate

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Abstract is really lengthy and have some unnecessary information such as "In the text, only the part of the research carried out by us is discussed, where there are issues related to education".

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

unfortunately study is not properly explained. what are the measurement scales? what is the method they have used. how the paper has 157 sample. based on what?

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

needs revision

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

needs revision

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

only 8 references !

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer H:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article, and moreover to the topic of "sustainability".

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, but it is very synthetic about methods and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

I feel not sure to have the skills for check language mistakes, because I am not a true english-speaker. However, I advise the Author to follow the advice of the Word spelling-grammar checker, which highlights all errors: first of all, non-existent spacing after the final points, as well as before the opening of parentheses but present after the parenthesis. Furthermore, in the last paragraph of p. 2 the names of the cited authors have been written without an intermediate space: XinyanZhang and PimtongTavitiyaman!

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study METHODS are not clearly explained: the Author said just: "The research method used was random sampling", using questionnaires and studying 157 homesteads. In a scientific paper an author MUST specify whether probabilistic sampling is used, and in this case, whether it is Bernoulli sampling, or without reintegration or stratified sampling, and how the sample was sized (starting from the reference population, the presumable variability of the phenomenon and the precision with which the author wants to make statistical estimates).

Otherwise, the Author must specify whether it is a "convenience" sample, extracted "at random" so to speak, or self-formed by voluntary adhesion of the respondents. In fact, the level of representativeness of data strongly influences the level of confidence attributable to the results: small probabilistic samples are much more adequate than large non-probabilistic samples, and 157 statistical units are not even a very large sample.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear, but contains little errors: addition to the aforementioned typo in p. 2, I note that in the last paragraph of p. 4 the author F. Caporali is defined in the text as "Fabio Corporal". I invite the author to pay a minimum of attention to what she herself writes.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Under the hypothesis that the used sample is representative of the population of Georgian homesteads, the CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The last two references are wrong. Researchgate site is not a true reference, but just a repository!

Ref. 7 should be: M. Kociszewska, Ecological awareness and education of the society in the light of sustainability idea – selected aspects, 2014. Pedagogika Rodziny. 4. 10.2478/fampe-2014-0004. Retrieved on

<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275995091> (and NOT <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/215650570> !!!)

Ref. 8 should be: F.Caporali, The Emergence of Ecological Awareness, 2021. In: Ethics and Sustainable Agriculture: Bridging the Ecological Gaps, Springer Nature Switzerland AG. Pp. 13-22. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-76683-2_3. Retrieved on <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356151193>

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Please, pay attention, and apply the correct statistical techniques.
