EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

Paper: "Marine and Coastal Resources Governance Issues in Casamance, Senegal: Example of MPAs"

YEARS

Submitted: 26 September 2022 Accepted: 14 December 2022 Published: 31 December 2022

Corresponding Author: Soda Loum

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n39p50

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Cheikh Tidiane Wade Université Ziguinchor, Senegal

Reviewer 2: Francesco Scotognella Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy -----

Reviewer A: Recommendation: See Comments

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

ok

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Ajouter une phrase dans les résultats en insistant sur le cadre de gouvernance

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

na

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

ok

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

ok

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

lire les commentaires

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

ajouter trois références plus récentes parlant de gouvernance

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Merci d'integrer les revisions mineures

Reviewer L: Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is adequate.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is clear.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The article is well written.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods are clearly explained.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear. I suggest to better explain the figures in thr figure captions.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is accurate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is accurate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

As mentioned previously, I suggest to better explain the figures in the figure captions.
