EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

YEARS

Paper: "Higher Education Challenges in the Era of COVID-19 from the Perspective of Educators and Students (Ghana, Georgia and Pakistan Cases): A Literature Review"

Submitted: 30 November 2022 Accepted: 05 January 2023 Published: 31 January 2023

Corresponding Author: Tamar Kakutia

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n1p11

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ismaiel Aloon Al al-Bayt University, Jordan

Reviewer 2: Amaya Epelde Larranaga Larranaga University of Granada, Spain

Reviewer 3: Goderdzi Buchashvili Georgian National University SEU, Georgia Reviewer A: Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title of the article is adequately and clearly describes the general content of the problem and the challenges during Covid-19.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT gives information about the research object and the research methods accordingly are selected by the authors. There are general information about the finding in the abstract as well.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few minor grammatical and punctuation error in the article

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

There are not clearly indicated research methods in the article, I would wish to be more information about research design and methods in the paper. In addition this study needs to have quantitative and qualitative research parts, in order the paper to be more representative.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

In general body of the paper in fine if we don't consider more information about research methods and empirical analysis

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is logical based on the literature review, it is well explained and analyzed

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

In text situation and references are on place and up to date

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Please include information about study methods and design, also add empirical research for the further steps.

Reviewer B: Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes, the title is adequate and clear.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

This is a literature review. The abstract is correct.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

I am not ready to answer this section

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

It is a literature review. The methodological part is not presented because it is a literature review.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The main part of the article is correct.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

I think that the conclusion repeats what has been exposed during the rest of the article. The authors should think of another type of more original conclusion.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is correct.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I think that the conclusion should be and can be improved.

Reviewer D: Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The address is good

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The summary is good

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are no grammatical errors and spelling errors in this article

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Study methods are good

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The text of the paper is clear and contains no errors

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion or summary is accurate and supported by the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is comprehensive and appropriate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Good paper Thank you for your efforts
