

Paper: "Entrepreneurial Orientation as Antecedent of Business Model Innovation in Medium Enterprises in Kenya"

Submitted: 29 December 2022 Accepted: 21 January 2023 Published: 31 January 2023

Corresponding Author: Albert Simiyu

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n1p140

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Haiytham Zreqat Yarmouk University, Jordan

Reviewer 2: Promise Ordu Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria

Reviewer 3: Enida Pulaj University of Vlora, Albania

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 09.01.2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 18.01.2023	
-	L ORIENTATION AS ANTECEDENT OF AMONG MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0127/23		
You agree your name is revealed to the author o	f the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No		
You approve, this review report is available in the	ne "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
The title is clear and adequate to the content of the paper.	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
The abstract is clear. It contains all the major aspects of the overall purpose of the study, the research problem, the method findings as a result of data analysis.	A A
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
The used language is correct and comprehensive.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
The study method is explained clearly and correctly.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
The results are clear and they are explained correctly.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
Even that the conclusions are given shortly they are supported paper such as: literature review and data analysis.	ed by the content of the
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
The authors have used a wide rage of literature and reference	es.

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

,	
Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:			
University/Country: Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria			
Date Manuscript Received: 9/01/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 15/01/2023		
1	AL ORIENTATION AS ANTECEDENT OF IONG MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN KENYA		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0127/23			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes			
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes			

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3

(Please insert your comments) Entrepreneurial Orientation and Business Model Innovation among I in Kenya	Medium Enterprises
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
few but ignoble	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
(Please insert your comments) A few review of the references is needed to properly align to AP adopted	A 7 th edition

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: I have highlighted where the necessary corrections are to be made

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: dr. haitham zreqat		
University/Country: Jordan / Yarmouk	University	
Date Manuscript Received: 9/January/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 12/January/2023	
<u> </u>	L ORIENTATION AS ANTECEDENT OF AMONG MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0627.01.2023		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		
You approve, this review report is available in	the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3

The title needs to be reformulated to read: The Impact of Entr Orientation on Business Model Innovation in Medium Enterp	*
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
The abstract is appropriate and presents directly the objective methodology used, and the results	e of the study, the
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
The article was written well and is free of grammatical and g	rammatical errors
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
The researcher explained the study methods clearly, but I rec statistical tables in presenting the reliability values and the re analysis	V
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
The results were presented in a clear and uncomplicated way expanding the discussion of the results	, but I recommend
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
Conclusions need to be linked to the content of the study more the theoretical framework	e deeply and to cite
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
There were no references after the year 2020, although the to recent topics that have been discussed in many researches an year 2020.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	27
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Theoretical literature is exaggeratedly brief. It is necessary to strengthen the theoretical framework with regard to the entrepreneurial orientation, and present examples of international companies that have followed this approach.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: nothing