



ESJ Humanities

## Institutionalising Urban Climate Action: Recent Recognitions

*Ama Kissiwah Boateng*

Faculty of Public Governance and International Studies  
University of Public Service, Hungary

[Doi:10.19044/esj.2023.v19n2p146](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n2p146)

---

Submitted: 06 January 2023

Accepted: 28 January 2023

Published: 31 January 2023

Copyright 2023 Author(s)

Under Creative Commons BY-NC-ND

4.0 OPEN ACCESS

*Cite As:*

Boateng A.K. (2023). *Institutionalising Urban Climate Action: Recent Recognitions*. European Scientific Journal, ESJ, 19 (2), 146. <https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n2p146>

---

### Abstract

With in-depth knowledge of the urban climate governance literature, studies of this nature have yet to be fully explored in the context of institutionalisation. Knowing which aspects are well-researched and where in contrast, research gaps lie, this paper systematically reviews the relevant literature to understand how urban climate governance has been institutionalised in cities and municipalities by following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews (PRISMA) and analysing English language journal articles published between 1990 and 2020 in the Web of Science database. As the concept of institutions is becoming more prominent in urban climate change literature, the findings indicate that urban adaptation was mentioned more frequently than mitigation, among other topics. Moreover, the research gaps encompass several institutional challenges associated with urban climate governance. Therefore, one essential step is to focus on new institutional arrangements for advancing climate goals across multiple localities.

---

**Keywords:** Institutionalising, climate action, governance, cities, systematic review

### Introduction

The devastating impacts in cities and urban areas have resulted in local government's efforts to respond to the implications, with recent studies focusing on evaluating and assessing the contents and quality of urban

adaptation policies and plans (Bulkeley, 2010; Castán Broto & Bulkeley, 2013; Anguelovski & Carmin, 2011; Câmpeanu & Fazey, 2014; Carter et al., 2015; Burch, 2010; Hölscher et al., 2019; Reckien et al., 2018a; Olazabal, Ruiz de Gopegui, et al., 2019; Araos et al., 2016; Castán Broto & Bulkeley, 2013; Guyadeen et al., 2019a; Reckien et al., 2018a; van der Heijden, 2019). As a result, research interest in urban climate governance has increased exponentially in the last three decades. This includes scholars evaluating the quality of local climate adaptation and mitigation plans and policies (e.g., Guyadeen et al., 2019b; (Olazabal & Ruiz De Gopegui, 2021; Reckien et al., 2018b). At the same time, others have also investigated the impact of partnerships and how they respond to climate risks and vulnerabilities (Göpfert et al., 2019a).

With in-depth knowledge of the urban climate governance literature, studies of this nature are yet to be fully explored in the context of institutionalisation. Though various conceptualisation of institutions exists, previous studies (e.g., Patterson, 2021; Patterson & Huitema, 2019) focused on the characteristics that enable adaptation. Factors such as leadership, funding, and stakeholder engagement support adaptation while, a lack of resources, and competencies limit adaptive capacity. Knowing which aspects are well-researched, and where in contrast, research gaps lie, this paper systematically reviews the relevant literature to understand how urban climate governance has been institutionalised in cities and municipalities. Organizing such knowledge can advance the present understanding with reference to institutional analysis and urban climate governance.

## **Materials and Methods**

### **Systematic reviews**

The researcher systematically reviews articles addressing the institutional dimension of urban climate governance. To do so, English language journal articles published between 1990 and 2020 were analysed. The present section spells out the steps leading to such selection: it introduces systematic reviews as a methodology and highlights the details of the research design employed. Commonly applied in health studies, systematic reviews have recently experienced a surge in popularity in the field of environmental studies, particularly in climate research (Berrang-Ford et al., 2015; Hunter et al., 2020; Roggero et al., 2018). The recurring element refers to the ambition of synthesising a specific body of literature without biases in the way articles are selected and looked at. This is achieved by selecting articles in a transparent and replicable manner. According to Berrang-Ford et al. (2015), in systematic reviews, there is no “one size fits all” (Berrang-Ford et al., 2015: 758). The following subsections illustrate the selection process involved in the present review, highlighting the underlying design choices.

## The present review

In line with the purpose of this study, this review was performed using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) (Moher et al., 2009). The PRISMA is a well-known evidence-based method for systematic reviews, and the first step was to select the appropriate database. For this study, the Web of Science database was chosen because it provides a broad range of peer-reviewed social science-related literature. Performed in November 2020, and focusing on articles from 1990 to 2020, the search strings (urban\* OR city OR cities OR municipal\*) AND clim\* AND institution\* AND governance yielded six hundred thirty-four (634) articles. In the next step, the titles, keywords, and abstracts were filtered and this resulted in 200 articles. In the final stage, the researcher designed the exact search criteria for the inclusion or exclusion of the full-text articles and this resulted in a final data set of 38 articles (refer to table 1 and 2 for inclusion and exclusion criteria and the final list of the selected articles respectively). In line with the definition of institutions used in the present study, the final dataset was checked with an independent reviewer to determine if articles addressed ‘institutional underpinning,’ i.e., laws, schemes, conventions, shared practices, habits, or traditions as it relates to urban climate governance. Articles were excluded if they did not refer to any institutional underpinning in terms of governance of climate change in cities and urban areas. All selected articles were carefully read and subjected to qualitative analysis.

The following questions were raised to gain insights from the present literature: 1) what is the trend of publication and the main topics of the articles? 2) what are the means through which urban climate action is embedded into the framework of cities and municipalities?

**Table 1.** Article selection process

Item	Description
Database selection	Web of Science database
Search strings Title/abstract/keywords Screening (specifying search criteria)	(urban*OR city OR cities OR municipal*) AND clim* AND institution* AND governance Published literature from 1990 - 2020
Inclusion criteria	The study must focus on English language academic literature Must focus on institutional underpinning,’ i.e., laws, schemes, conventions, shared practices, habits, or traditions as it relates to urban climate governance
Exclusion criteria	excludes articles published in a language other than English, no full text, and did not refer to any institutional underpinning in terms of governance of climate change in cities and urban areas

## **Results**

### **Evidence showing how urban climate action is embedded into the structures of cities and municipalities**

#### **1. Trend of publication and the main topics of the selected articles**

There has been increasing recognition that global environmental change issues such as climate change are critical for cities. Of particular interest is its institutionalisation.

In this review, three papers were identified in the early 2000s. First, Monstadt (2007) published one of the first manuscripts focusing on new policy approaches and institutional reforms to shape the development of energy networks in Berlin. Second, Fünfgeld (2010) highlighted aspects of the institutional challenges to climate risk management in cities, and similarly, Brown & Farrelly (2009) explored the social and institutional challenges ahead in terms of influencing sustainable urban stormwater management in three Australian capital cities. In the late 2010s, the articles primarily discussed the role of urban governance and the development of institutions for climate change mitigation and adaptation. Moreover, their motivations and approaches can be identified as the most relevant issues where much of this work included. For example, the development of regulatory frameworks to ensure adaptation and mitigation of climate risks (Romero-Lankao et al., 2013), the institutional governance structures that surround local government work on climate change adaptation (Aylett, 2015). Given that these institutional arrangements may influence adaptive responses, there is much to be gained by understanding their shape, strengths, and weaknesses around adaptive planning. For instance, Pasquini & Shearing (2014) showed how a South African municipality has made considerable progress in institutionalising environmental issues (particularly mitigating and adapting to the impacts of climate change) in the last decade. At the same time, Hughes & Romero-Lankao (2014) compared the choices Delhi and Mexico City made concerning the structure and formality of science–policy interfaces in support of climate-change policy processes. Over time (i.e., in the early 2020s), several articles were developed to understand the ways institutions exist and its importance in urban climate adaptation. Among others, Patterson & Huitema (2019) provided insights on how institutional innovation in urban climate change governance can be conceptualised by developing a heuristic through an exploratory case study of urban water governance in Santiago, Chile. Similarly, Bettini et al., (2013, 2015) showed that institutional capacities could increase given the need for urban water transitions, while Lassa, (2019) proposed institutional pathways for sustaining climate change resilience and risk governance in Surat, Indonesia. Among other topics, adaptation responses are more frequently mentioned than mitigation. Water is the most mentioned sector in urban adaptation challenges and response while energy is the most

mentioned sector in urban mitigation challenges and response. Figures 1 and 2 show the trend of publication (1990 – 2020) and to show a visual representation of the title and keywords based on frequency and relevance respectively




Figure 2. Shows the trend of publication (1990 - 2021)



Figure 3. A visualization of the title and keywords using word cloud

## **2. How urban climate action is embedded into the framework of cities and municipalities**

As the concept of institutions is becoming more prominent in the field of urban climate change, Patterson & Huitema (2019) explores how institutional innovation can be conceptualized by developing a heuristic which opens up new ways of analysis in the face of constraining institutional frameworks. More recently, to examine adaptation efforts across different cities, countries, or regions, it is observed that a mix of institutions exist depending on the climate risks, degree of affected populations, geographical contexts, organizational priorities, and perceptions about its appropriateness (Agrawal, 2008; Patterson et al., 2019). As such, institutions both enable and constrain cooperation and coordination between different actors in a governance regime thus, they can be either formal or informal. Formal institutions are rules and procedures that are created, communicated, and enforced through channels widely accepted as official. Examples include written laws, regulations, or standards. In contrast, informal institutions are socially shared rules, usually unwritten, that are created, communicated, and enforced outside of officially sanctioned channels such as work routines, traditions, and knowledge systems. For instance, formal institutions could constitute policy and legal frameworks specifying roles and responsibilities that different actors have while informal institutions are the socially shared rules, usually created, communicated, and enforced outside officially sanctioned channels. Everyday elements could include relationships between other actors that help to coordinate their activities but are not formally written down (e.g., building networks and establishing meaningful partnerships). As local governments devise climate policies, they tend to formalise and institutionalise their work to facilitate implementation and strengthen the support across different sectors and/or departments. One aspect of formalising it is through the establishment of dedicated climate change departments and offices and is responsible for mainstreaming climate action. In Santiago, Chile for instance, a Climate Protection Unit was set up to help define climate policies, set up initiatives, manage and coordinate all stakeholders, measure the impact of policies, and assess the outcomes of climate initiatives.

**Table 2.** Examples of how urban climate action is embedded into the framework of cities and municipalities

#	Source	Examples of how urban climate action is embedded into the framework of cities and municipalities
1.	Patterson & Huitema (2019)	Production of a regional adaptation plan for Santiago in 2012 (Plan de Adaptacion al Cambio Climatico para la Region Metropolitana de Santiago de Chile – PARMS) after several years of scientific studies and stakeholder collaboration involving international researchers, A local NGO, Adapt-Chile formed in 2013, has been active in facilitating collaboration among municipalities for adaptation planning, an example is the creation of the Chilean Network of Municipalities for Climate Action (RMFCC) in 2014, which provides a platform for coordination between municipalities in Santiago
1.	Anguelovski & Carmin (2011)	Establishment of dedicated climate units either within a relevant department or as a separate cross-cutting office. In Paris, for instance, a Climate Protection unit was created to help define climate policies, set up initiatives, manage and coordinate all stakeholders, measure the impact of policies, and assess the outcomes of climate initiatives, Stakeholder engagement in urban climate action, making reference to the ICLEI program where local governments participating in the milestone process typically convene meetings to share information with the public and obtain input on plans, Development of regulations, policies, codes, and support programs. Example, London and Toronto developed strategies that provided them with a starting point for developing assessments and plans and engaging the public.
2.	Romero-Lankao et al. (2013)	Mexico City launched a local climate strategy in 2000, There is the involvement of both governmental and non-governmental organisations, and a group of environmental experts to hold critical positions within the Ministry of Environment, Involvement of decision makers from both cities often rely on the Internet for sharing information between agencies and between the government and the public
3.	Fünfgeld (2010)	In multiple cities, multi-level governance frameworks have increased through active involvement of stakeholders including citizens, transfer of climate change knowledge and its integration into planning and decision-making practice, several guidebooks, toolkits, and individual support tools for climate risk management in cities were developed



- 
4. Göpfert et al. (2019) There are two types of climate-related advisory committees were identified in the 107 German cities under study: Climate specific committees (CSC) and the Climate integrative committees (CIC)
  5. Frick-Trzebitzky (2017) Governmental Agencies have designed regulations on disaster, water, and land management, by-laws have been drafted in line with flood risks reduction, Engagement of traditional authorities, and non-state organisations, Formation of community associations, Belief systems, and the role of cultural values to foster the decisive role of chieftaincy structures in adaptation to urban flooding in the local context of Accra
  6. Aylett (2015) Integration of adaptation planning within local government plans, and mainstreaming of adaptation planning within local government structures, Informal channels of communication have been created, Existence of networks within individual local governments
  7. Lassa (2019) Establishment of the Asian Cities Climate Change Resilience Network (ACCCRN), Formation of the Semarang City Team (STC) for the development of the city resilience strategy, as well as the recruitment of a local champion, Creation of an NGO-like structure to serve as a think tank instead of policy makers to ensure adaptation as a routine development agenda
  8. Göpfert et al. (2019a) In Mwanza (Tanzania) - existence of environmental units exist at different sublevels with explicit responsibility for mitigation and adaptation, there are decentralized community-based organizations that discuss mitigation and adaptation issues  
In Wurzburg (Germany)- the Stabsstelle Klimaschutz unit is jointly responsible for mitigation and adaptation and a climate protection officer is responsible for both mitigation and adaptation
  9. Patterson et al. (2019) Development of adaptation plans by six municipalities in inner Santiago facilitated by a local nonprofit organization (AdaptChile), Activities regarding adaptation in the urban water system have been pursued by the main (private) water supply and sewage company in Santiago (Aguas Andinas), An office of climate change was created within the MMA in 2010 (Law 20.147), later upgraded to a division of climate change (DCC) in 2016/17 also containing a Department of Adaptation to Climate Change and Capacity Development
-



---

10. Cousins (2017)	Stricter laws and regulations, developed in tandem with science and data-driven approaches, as the best way to improve stormwater management, New rules and institutions to foster integrated management approaches, as well as more robust economic instruments capable of assigning a monetary value to stormwater
11. Francesch-Huidobro (2012)	In 2005 Hong Kong published its First Sustainable Development Strategy, recommending that 1–2% of Hong Kong’s total electricity supply be met by renewable sources, mainly wind power, by 2012, signed up to the new Scheme of Control Agreements (SCA) through which the government ‘encourages’ them to implement more environmentally friendly measures
12. Monstadt (2007)	An Energy Task Force was established within the environmental department to promote more efficient power plants, to increase the share of renewable energy resources and to enhance demand-side management, The Task Force was commissioned to establish comprehensive plans at regular intervals and to coordinate energy-related policies, The Berlin Energy Agency was set up and an energy law was adopted defining an institutional framework for energy planning
13. Bettini et al. (2013)	A Department of Water has been created in Perth to take overall responsibility for water resources management, others include strategic support, governance arrangements and inter- and intra-organizational relations
14. Wejs (2014)	The organizational approach (all eight Danish municipalities under study have established a project management unit to coordinate and facilitate the climate change planning), Six out of the eight cases created specialized working groups and steering committees, Institutional entrepreneurs have played a key role in mobilising actors and building legitimacy for their actions in several of the eight municipalities
15. Bettini, Brown, & de Haan (2015)	Perth’s case showed an institutional setting displaying cognitive, normative, and regulative maintaining mechanisms, locking the city into traditional practice by confining the urban water sector to its current structure and function and enabled the city to sustain water service levels throughout the drought (i.e., maintain resilience). Adelaide experienced maintaining influences through information availability, confused debates in public discourse, and professional capacity.

---

- 
16. Hughes & Romero-Lankao (2014)  
Both Delhi and Mexico City have recently developed climate-change plans, Creation of a Mexico City Virtual Center for Climate Change (MCVCCC) which is a repository for climate-relevant data, research, and current events, Mexico City has chosen to institutionalise and formalise the science-policy interface through the MCVCCC, which now serves as the primary vehicle through which scientific information influences climatechange policy for the city, Drawing on informal science-based partnerships for developing Delhi's State Level Climate Action Plan
  17. Pasquini & Shearing (2014)  
The existence of networks between municipalities and other individuals or institutions that can connect them to knowledge and opportunities (examples include ICLEI, and the South African Local Government Association) efforts to spread green norms within municipalities target all levels of the administration
  18. Marsden & Groer (2016)  
There are carbon management policies for all cities: Frankfurt, Stuttgart, Munich in Germany and Leeds, Manchester, Edinburgh, and Glasgow in the UK, only Leeds has adopted a formal carbon management plan with specific goals for transport,
  19. Hovik et al. (2015)  
The professional community, particularly the organization Norwegian Water, is taking an active role in communicating new knowledge, and developing and promoting policy measures and solutions.
  20. López-Martínez et al. (2020)  
the efforts made by the regional administrations to reduce exposure to flooding through several non-structural flood management policies since 1998 to address spatial planning,
  21. Roelich et al. (2018)  
The municipal energy companies have been set up over the period (2013 to 2017) to contribute to a low-carbon transition through acceleration of low-carbon energy technology roll-out and demand management,
  22. Wamsler & Lawson (2012)  
In the Northern context (Manchester), there is a growing dependency on insurance companies to provide the type of assistance that would allow urban resilience to be increased while in the South, to continued reliance on NGOs,
  23. Taylor (2016)  
Cape Town has developed a climate adaptation action plan through the hiring of a local consultant in consultation with key officials in other City departments, The municipal government also sought to strengthen partnerships to provide research support to these ongoing planning and strategy processes, the climate adaptation action plan has heightened awareness amongst City staff to prepare for changing climate,
-

- 
24. Zaidi & Pelling (2015) The existence of a National Heat Wave Plan ensures well developed procedures for disaster management and response to extreme hot weather events in London, There are formal procedures for the dissemination of information on heat wave risk in the city of London
25. Wei (2020) development of a low carbon policy framework over the period between 2005 and 2018 in Guangzhou, the sectoral practices in four domains, namely, industrial carbon reduction, low carbon industries development, low carbon building, and low carbon transport
26. Aylett (2013) In Durban, some municipal departments have established a profoundly different organisational culture that distributes empowered creativity. Something similar can be seen in Portland's attempts to mainstream sustainability and climate considerations across municipal departments.
27. Ferguson et al. (2013) Partnerships  
Cultural cognitive (Melbourne's traditional centralised water system through knowledge and beliefs)  
Normative (goals, leadership, community)  
Regulative (markets, governance)
28. Valdivieso et al., (2017) Transparency  
Internal coordination (information on internal regulations and organigrams)  
municipalities with more transparent management practices and stronger internal coordination mechanisms spend more resources on emergencies; municipalities with more social participation and community organizations also spend more; and the more funding that a municipality receives from national and regional governments, the more resources it allocates for adaptation and vulnerability reduction
29. (Tennekes et al., 2014) The use of legitimate climate adaptation policies, the role and responsibility of public and private actors in transforming society
30. (Tingey & Webb, 2020) Sustainable energy policies and market regulations
31. (Munaretto et al., 2012) the Italian government established a legal framework known as the Special Law for Venice. This framework consists of a number of national laws and ministerial decrees, which set objectives,
-

- 
- responsibilities, regulations, actions and measures, and allocates funds for safeguarding Venice and its lagoon
32. (Huck et al., 2020) there is a local technical committee, called Safeguarding Commission for Venice instituted by Stakeholders from different infrastructure sectors nevertheless started to collaborate in an informal project setting within the Centre for Advanced Engineering at the University of Canterbury. As a result of this collaboration, the Risks and Realities Report (Centre for Advanced Engineering, 1997) provided sector-specific and cross-sector risk assessments and proposed protection and mitigation measure
33. Duijn & van Buuren (2017) The presence of institutional entrepreneurship: actors who take responsibility to initiate the necessary actions to redesign existing institutional practices.  
Alliance
34. Brown & Farrelly (2009) Management arrangements and responsibilities, regulations and approvals processes and costs. Capacity building programs, fostering greater socio-political capital and developing key demonstration projects with training events may be useful policy interventions in helping the urban water professional improve the quality of stormwater for both environmental and human benefits
35. (Roggero, 2015) Focuses on local administrations and exploring their role as bureaucratic organizations
36. Hernandez et al., 2018) Key social actors were engaged, including international organisations, research centres, local Universities, regional and insular
37. Bettini et al., 2015) Perth's urban water sector is corporatized with a high level of regulation, water policy development, The establishment of a dedicated water policy portfolio within Government
38. (Horne & Moloney, 2019) The use of intermediary organisations, the example of Climate Change Alliances in Victoria
-

## Conclusion

The paper focuses on understanding how urban climate governance has been institutionalised in cities and municipalities by reviewing the relevant literature. Analyzing the topics and main research questions, the concept of institutions is becoming more prominent in the field of urban climate change. Apart from theoretically oriented, recent studies on urban adaptation calls for the use of institutions to improve and facilitate its implementation across sectors. It is evident in the analysis as shown in table 2 that in each paper, cities and municipalities use different approaches or combine various tools to enable urban climate adaptation. The different tools across cities and municipalities show the institutional challenges associated with advancing urban climate governance. As a result, there is an urgent need for further research especially across countries in the Global South where studies of this nature are limited. Moreover, further research could reveal some case studies across multiple localities based on dynamics of their models or arrangements.

## References:

1. Agrawal, A. (2008). *The Role of Local Institutions in Adaptation to Climate Change*. World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/28274>
2. Angelovski, I., & Carmin, J. (2011). Something borrowed, everything new: Innovation and institutionalization in urban climate governance. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 3(3), 169–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2010.12.017>
3. Aylett, A. (2013). The Socio-institutional Dynamics of Urban Climate Governance: A Comparative Analysis of Innovation and Change in Durban (KZN, South Africa) and Portland (OR, USA). *Urban Studies*, 50(7), 1386–1402. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098013480968>
4. Aylett, A. (2015). Institutionalizing the urban governance of climate change adaptation: Results of an international survey. *Urban Climate*, 14, 4–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2015.06.005>
5. Berrang-Ford, L., Pearce, T., & Ford, J. D. (2015). Systematic review approaches for climate change adaptation research. *Regional Environmental Change*, 15(5), 755–769. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-014-0708-7>
6. Bettini, Y., Brown, R., & de Haan, F. J. (2013). Water scarcity and institutional change: Lessons in adaptive governance from the drought experience of Perth, Western Australia. *Water Science and Technology*, 67(10), 2160–2168. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2013.127>
7. Bettini, Y., Brown, R. R., & de Haan, F. J. (2015). Exploring institutional adaptive capacity in practice: Examining water governance adaptation in Australia. *Ecology and Society*, 20(1), art47. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-07291-200147>

8. Brown, R. R., & Farrelly, M. A. (2009). Challenges ahead: Social and institutional factors influencing sustainable urban stormwater management in Australia. *Water Science and Technology*, 59(4), 653–660. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2009.022>
9. Bulkeley, H. (2010). Cities and the Governing of Climate Change. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 35(1), 229–253. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-072809-101747>
10. Burch, S. (2010). Transforming barriers into enablers of action on climate change: Insights from three municipal case studies in British Columbia, Canada. *Global Environmental Change*, 20(2), 287–297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2009.11.009>
11. Câmpeanu, C. N., & Fazey, I. (2014). Adaptation and pathways of change and response: A case study from Eastern Europe. *Global Environmental Change*, 28, 351–367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.010>
12. Carter, J. G., Cavan, G., Connelly, A., Guy, S., Handley, J., & Kazmierczak, A. (2015). Climate change and the city: Building capacity for urban adaptation. *Progress in Planning*, 95, 1–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progress.2013.08.001>
13. Castán Broto, V., & Bulkeley, H. (2013). A survey of urban climate change experiments in 100 cities. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(1), 92–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.07.005>
14. Cousins, J. J. (2017). Infrastructure and institutions: Stakeholder perspectives of stormwater governance in Chicago. *Cities*, 66, 44–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2017.03.005>
15. Francesch-Huidobro, M. (2012). Institutional deficit and lack of legitimacy: The challenges of climate change governance in Hong Kong. *Environmental Politics*, 21(5), 791–810. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2012.686221>
16. Frick-Trzebitzky, F. (2017). *Crafting Adaptive Capacity: Institutional Bricolage in Adaptation to Urban Flooding in Greater Accra*. 10(2), 23.
17. Fünfgeld, H. (2010). Institutional challenges to climate risk management in cities. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 2(3), 156–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2010.07.001>
18. Göpfert, C., Wamsler, C., & Lang, W. (2019a). A framework for the joint institutionalization of climate change mitigation and adaptation in city administrations. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 24(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-018-9789-9>

19. Göpfert, C., Wamsler, C., & Lang, W. (2019b). Institutionalising climate change mitigation and adaptation through city advisory committees: Lessons learned and policy futures. *City and Environment Interactions*, 1, 100004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cacint.2019.100004>
20. Guyadeen, D., Thistlethwaite, J., & Henstra, D. (2019a). Evaluating the quality of municipal climate change plans in Canada. *Climatic Change*, 152(1), 121–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-018-2312-1>
21. Guyadeen, D., Thistlethwaite, J., & Henstra, D. (2019b). Evaluating the quality of municipal climate change plans in Canada. *Climatic Change*, 152(1), 121–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-018-2312-1>
22. Hölscher, K., Frantzeskaki, N., McPhearson, T., & Loorbach, D. (2019). Capacities for urban transformations governance and the case of New York City. *Cities*, 94, 186–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.05.037>
23. Horne, R., & Moloney, S. (2019). Urban low carbon transitions: Institution-building and prospects for interventions in social practice. *European Planning Studies*, 27(2), 336–354. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2018.1472745>
24. Hovik, S., Naustdalslid, J., Reitan, M., & Muthanna, T. (2015). Adaptation to Climate Change: Professional Networks and Reinforcing Institutional Environments. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 33(1), 104–117. <https://doi.org/10.1068/c1230h>
25. Huck, A., Monstadt, J., & Driessen, P. (2020). Building urban and infrastructure resilience through connectivity: An institutional perspective on disaster risk management in Christchurch, New Zealand. *Cities*, 98, 102573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.102573>
26. Hughes, S., & Romero-Lankao, P. (2014). Science and institution building in urban climate-change policymaking. *Environmental Politics*, 23(6), 1023–1042. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2014.921459>
27. Hunter, N., North, M., Roberts, D., & Slotow, R. (2020). A systematic map of responses to climate impacts in urban Africa. *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(10), 103005. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab9d00>
28. Lassa, J. A. (2019). Negotiating Institutional Pathways for Sustaining Climate Change Resilience and Risk Governance in Indonesia. *Climate*, 7(8), 95. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli7080095>
29. López-Martínez, F., Pérez-Morales, A., & Illán-Fernández, E. J. (2020). Are local administrations really in charge of flood risk management governance? The Spanish Mediterranean coastline and its



- institutional vulnerability issues. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 63(2), 257–274. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2019.1577551>
30. Marsden, G., & Groer, S. (2016). Do institutional structures matter? A comparative analysis of urban carbon management policies in the UK and Germany. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 51, 170–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2016.01.002>
31. Monstadt, J. (2007). Urban Governance and the Transition of Energy Systems: Institutional Change and Shifting Energy and Climate Policies in Berlin. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 31(2), 326–343. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2427.2007.00725.x>
32. Munaretto, S., Vellinga, P., & Tobi, H. (2012). Flood Protection in Venice under Conditions of Sea-Level Rise: An Analysis of Institutional and Technical Measures. *Coastal Management*, 40(4), 355–380. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08920753.2012.692311>
33. Olazabal, M., & Ruiz De Gopegui, M. (2021). Adaptation planning in large cities is unlikely to be effective. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 206, 103974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2020.103974>
34. Olazabal, M., Ruiz de Gopegui, M., Tompkins, E. L., Venner, K., & Smith, R. (2019). A cross-scale worldwide analysis of coastal adaptation planning. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(12), 124056. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab5532>
35. Pasquini, L. (n.d.). *Municipalities, politics, and climate change: An example of the process of institutionalizing an environmental agenda within local government*. 29.
36. Pasquini, L., & Shearing, C. (2014). Municipalities, Politics, and Climate Change: An Example of the Process of Institutionalizing an Environmental Agenda Within Local Government. *The Journal of Environment & Development*, 23(2), 271–296. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1070496514525406>
37. Patterson, J. J. (2021). More than planning: Diversity and drivers of institutional adaptation under climate change in 96 major cities. *Global Environmental Change*, 68, 102279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102279>
38. Patterson, J. J., & Huitema, D. (2019). Institutional innovation in urban governance: The case of climate change adaptation. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 62(3), 374–398. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2018.1510767>
39. Patterson, J., Voogt, D. L., & Sapiains, R. (2019). Beyond inputs and outputs: Process-oriented explanation of institutional change in

- climate adaptation governance. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 29(5), 360–375. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eet.1865>
40. Reckien, D., Salvia, M., Heidrich, O., Church, J. M., Pietrapertosa, F., De Gregorio-Hurtado, S., D'Alonzo, V., Foley, A., Simoes, S. G., Krkoška Lorencová, E., Orru, H., Orru, K., Wejs, A., Flacke, J., Olazabal, M., Geneletti, D., Feliu, E., Vasilie, S., Nador, C., ... Dawson, R. (2018a). How are cities planning to respond to climate change? Assessment of local climate plans from 885 cities in the EU-28. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 191, 207–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.220>
  41. Reckien, D., Salvia, M., Heidrich, O., Church, J. M., Pietrapertosa, F., De Gregorio-Hurtado, S., D'Alonzo, V., Foley, A., Simoes, S. G., Krkoška Lorencová, E., Orru, H., Orru, K., Wejs, A., Flacke, J., Olazabal, M., Geneletti, D., Feliu, E., Vasilie, S., Nador, C., ... Dawson, R. (2018b). How are cities planning to respond to climate change? Assessment of local climate plans from 885 cities in the EU-28. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 191, 207–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.220>
  42. Roelich, K., Bale, C. S. E., Turner, B., & Neall, R. (2018). Institutional pathways to municipal energy companies in the UK: Realising co-benefits to mitigate climate change in cities. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 182, 727–736. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.002>
  43. Roggero, M. (2015). Adapting institutions: Exploring climate adaptation through institutional economics and set relations. *Ecological Economics*, 118, 114–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.07.022>
  44. Roggero, M., Bisaro, A., & Villamayor-Tomas, S. (2018). Institutions in the climate adaptation literature: A systematic literature review through the lens of the Institutional Analysis and Development framework. *Journal of Institutional Economics*, 14(3), 423–448. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1744137417000376>
  45. Romero-Lankao, P., Hughes, S., Rosas-Huerta, A., Borquez, R., & Gnatz, D. M. (2013). Institutional Capacity for Climate Change Responses: An Examination of Construction and Pathways in Mexico City and Santiago. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 31(5), 785–805. <https://doi.org/10.1068/c12173>
  46. Taylor, A. (2016). Institutional inertia in a changing climate: Climate adaptation planning in Cape Town, South Africa. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, 8(2), 194–211. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCCSM-03-2014-0033>

47. Tennekes, J., Driessen, P. P. J., van Rijswick, H. F. M. W., & van Bree, L. (2014). Out of the Comfort Zone: Institutional Context and the Scope for Legitimate Climate Adaptation Policy. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 16(2), 241–259. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1523908X.2013.836961>
48. Tingey, M., & Webb, J. (2020). Governance institutions and prospects for local energy innovation: Laggards and leaders among UK local authorities. *Energy Policy*, 138, 111211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.111211>
49. van der Heijden, J. (2019). Studying urban climate governance: Where to begin, what to look for, and how to make a meaningful contribution to scholarship and practice. *Earth System Governance*, 1, 100005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esg.2019.100005>
50. Wamsler, C., & Lawson, N. (2012). Complementing institutional with localised strategies for climate change adaptation: A South-North comparison. *Disasters*, 36(1), 28–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7717.2011.01248.x>
51. Wei, Q. (2021). Do urban carbon reduction practices under China’s institutional arrangement go beyond “low-hanging fruits”? Empirical evidence from Guangzhou. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 64(6), 978–998. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2020.1796606>
52. Wejs, A. (2014). Integrating Climate Change into Governance at the Municipal Scale: An Institutional Perspective on Practices in Denmark. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 32(6), 1017–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1068/c1215>
53. Zaidi, R. Z., & Pelling, M. (2015). Institutionally configured risk: Assessing urban resilience and disaster risk reduction to heat wave risk in London. *Urban Studies*, 52(7), 1218–1233. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098013510957>