

Paper: “Facteurs Associés à l’Inobservance Thérapeutique chez les Patients Admis Pour Acidocétose Diabétique à l’Hôpital National de Niamey”

Submitted: 16 September 2022

Accepted: 10 January 2023

Published: 31 January 2023

Corresponding Author: Youssoufa Seydou Moussa

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n3p14](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n3p14)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kogoe Roland
University of Lomé, Togo

Reviewer 2: Aurélia Rakotondrainibe
Madagascar

Reviewer 3: Banfitebiyi Gambogou
University of Lomé, Togo

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: KOGOE Lidawu Roland-Moise	
University/Country: University of Lomé / Togo	
Date Manuscript Received: 27 December 2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 29 december 2022
Manuscript Title: Factors associated with therapeutic non-compliance in patients admitted for diabetic ketoacidosis at Niamey National Hospital	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1003/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>Title is clear</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>p value and odd ratio are not mentioned in the abstract</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>In a retrospective study, when did the questionnaire was administered?</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>Abbreviations in tables are not defined. Was is high temperature? Normal blood pressure (operational definition); the glycemia unit is mmol/l; not mmol. In the speech, don't use the effective and the percentage (choose the most representative). The sex ratio is incorrectly calculated</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>good</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>good</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

improve the problematic of the subject by specifying exactly the difficulties that the results will allow to solve

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

none

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Rakotondrainibe	
University/Country: Madagascar	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted: 14.01.23
Manuscript Title: Facteurs associés à l'inobservance thérapeutique chez les patients admis pour acidocétose diabétique à l'Hôpital National de Niamey	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1003/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le titre est clair et adéquat	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le résumé est bien structuré. Néanmoins quelques omissions à corriger : les tests statistiques utilisés pour l'étude à mettre dans la méthode Dans les résultats, il faut bien faire concorder les résultats du résumé avec ceux du texte	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les fautes typographiques sont à vérifier. J'en ai corrigé certaines (mis en cyan), mais cela n'empêche pas de réviser à nouveau	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthode est claire. Il faudra mentionner les critères d'exclusion au besoin	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Il faudra revoir par rapport à l'HTA, car les valeurs de IC95% ne supposent pas une valeur de p significative. Sinon le reste semble clair. Il faudrait également bien suivre les recommandations aux auteurs pour la mise en page, notamment des tableaux	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Conclusion acceptable	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Références en rapport avec le sujet, mais il faut bien suivre les recommandations pour la rédaction	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
Des corrections et révisions sont à effectuer (comme mentionner plus haut) et les recommandations aux auteurs doivent être bien suivies

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. GAMBOGOU Banfitebiyi	
University/Country: Université de Lomé/TOGO	
Date Manuscript Received: 18/12/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 18/01/2023
Manuscript Title: Facteurs associés à l'inobservance thérapeutique chez les patients admis pour acidocétose diabétique à l'Hôpital National de Niamey	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1003/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	

<i>Yes, the title is clear and is adequate to the content</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	
<i>Yes, but there are some mistakes. See my comment in article</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
<i>Yes, they are few spelling mistakes in the article</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
<i>Yes</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
<i>It contains few errors. See my comment into the article</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>No, the conclusion doesn't support the content the it will be rewrite</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
<i>Yes, but the syntax of citation author in the text must be rewrite according the guide of author of ESJ</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: