

Paper: "Evaluation of the Antifungal Activity of Five Medicinal Plants on the In vitro Growth of a Multi-resistant Strain of Candida albicans"

Submitted: 08 August 2022 Accepted: 06 January 2023 Published: 31 January 2023

Corresponding Author: Gbe Kouakou N'dri Ange Konan


Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n3p110

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Kouadio Bene

Université Nangui Abrogoua, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire



The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results, but it lacks perspective

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few mistakes to consider (See the manuscript)

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Methodology on antifungal activity is not clearly explained (See the manuscript).

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper contains some errors to be corrected (See the manuscript)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Conclusion is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is comprehensive but needs improvement. In some cases, finding more recent authors and harmonizing the writing (See manuscript).

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

```
Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
```

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

| You will find the comments in the manuscript. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The study focuses primarily on antifungal activity. This part should therefore be |
| explained very clearly. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Reviewer H: |
| Recommendation: Resubmit for Review |
| |
| |
| |
| THE TRIPLE IS A SECOND OF THE |

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract clearly presents object, methods and results

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few mistake in this article

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods are explained ckearly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

the body of the paper contain few errorsn and the discussion is wrong, must be done again

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is accurate and supported by the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Reference is appropriate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

```
Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
```

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The work is of good quality and topical. The results are very interesting, however, in my opinion, the discussion deserves to be resumed in order to facilitate the understanding of the work that I have read with great interest.
