

Paper: “Evaluation de l’Attention Selective chez les Alcoolodépendants Admis au Centre de la Croix Bleue (Cote d’Ivoire)”

Submitted: 14 September 2022

Accepted: 10 January 2023

Published: 31 January 2023

Corresponding Author: Emmanuel Diboh

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n3p163](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n3p163)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mballo Ibrahima
Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar, Sénégal

Reviewer 2: Georges Kpazai
Laurentian University, Sudbury (Ontario), Canada

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Ibrahima MBALLO	
University/Country: Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar / Sénégal	
Date Manuscript Received: 20/12/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 28/12/2022
Manuscript Title: Evaluation de l'attention sélective chez les alcoolos-dépendants admis pour traitement au Centre de la Croix Bleue (Côte d'Ivoire)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 34---102-09-2022	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Yes, the title corresponds to the body of the text even if it can be refined 04	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The summary should be improved to highlight the three movements. 02	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The language level is low. Improve the style even if the text is clear. 03	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The methodological approach is clear 04	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The results are clear, but their presentation needs to be improved for easy understanding. 04	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
It must respond to the purpose of the study in a specific way. 02	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<i>Bringing out articles on the issues of selective attention evaluations in other African countries would help to better understand the effects of this type of local alcohol such as "soumsoum" in Senegal and "Béré" in Guinea Conakry etc.</i> 03	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
------------------------------	--

Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Thank you for taking care of the comments and especially for keeping the journal on track.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Prof Georges Kpazai, Ph.D.	
University/Country: Laurentian University, Sudbury (Ontario), Canada	
Date Manuscript Received: June 02, 2022	Date Review Report Submitted: December 29, 2022
Manuscript Title: EVALUATION DE L'ATTENTION SELECTIVE CHEZ LES ALCOOLO-DEPENDANTS ADMIS POUR TRAITEMENT AU CENTRE DE LA CROIX BLEUE (COTE D'IVOIRE)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 09102/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>OK</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5

<i>OK</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>OK</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>Yes</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>Yes</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>See my comments on the manuscript</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Write bibliographic references according to APA 7th edition standards</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Excellent manuscrit! Revoir la conclusion et l'écriture des références selon les normes de la 7^e édition de APA. Voir mes commentaires et suggestions sur le texte annoté pour les corrections mineures à faire..

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

This manuscript is well written and ready to publish after the minor revisions.



**6 REASONS TO
PUBLISH WITH ESJ**

- Visibility
- Agility
- Internationalisation
- Quality
- Transparency
- Open Access



EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL