

Paper: "Asymmetric Pass-through Effects of Oil Price Shocks and Exchange Rates on Inflation in Nigeria: Evidence from a Nonlinear ARDL Model"

Submitted: 15 November 2022 Accepted: 21 February 2023 Published: 28 February 2023

Corresponding Author: Suleiman Saad

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n4p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Hamidreza Izadi

Chabahar Maritime University, Iran

Reviewer 2: Urooj Fatima

Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

Reviewer D:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No grammatical errors and spelling mistakes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

It is suitable

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

It is suitable

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

It is suitable


Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]



Reviewer G:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

ASYMMETRIC PASS-THROUGH EFFECT OF OIL PRICE SHOCKS AND EXCHANGE RATES ON INFLATION IN NIGERIA: EVIDENCE FROM A NONLINEAR ARDL MODEL

The topic is clear and brief enough to explain the main issue addressed. it will be very beneficial for further future research

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is clear but needs a little more addition as the abstract is the main key to showing the whole of what is inside the work. Add a few more lines in your abstract that can clearly show the main objectives and methods. also add more results details in it.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors in your work. you can remove them and submit

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods is explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

You can add a few more flow charts to your work to make it more clear and more worthy.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Add briefly the conclusion part.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

No Reference part is added to the work. Unable to find out

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

```
Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
```

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

O	verall	R	ecomm	enda	tion	!!	•
\mathbf{v}	vcian	1.		cnua			

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Overall the paper is of good worth and will open new directions for new researchers in this field. But I am unable to find out your reference part. you can submit it again with minor revisions including references for acceptance
