

Paper: "Dividend Pay-out Policy and Share Price: A Study of Listed Firms in

Morocco"

Submitted: 02 December 2022 Accepted: 17 February 2023 Published: 28 February 2023

Corresponding Author: Meriam Dekri

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n4p28

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Enida Pulaj University of Vlora, Albania

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:14.12.2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 26.12.2022
Manuscript Title: Dividend pay-out police Morocco	cy and share price: A study of listed firms in
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1230/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of	f the paper: Yes/No
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper: Yes/No	paper, is available in the "review history" of the
You approve, this review report is available in the	ne "review history" of the paper: Yes/No

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
The title is clear and adequate to the content of the paper.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5

The abstract is clear. It contains all the major aspects of the peroverall purpose of the study, the research problem, the method findings as a result of data analysis.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
There are some typing errors such as the spaces between word formatting	ls. The paper needs
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
The study method is explained clearly and correctly. The tables need to be formatted.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
The results are clear and they are explained correctly.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
The conclusion part is accurate and supported by the content of literature review and data analysis.	of the paper such as:
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
The references are extensive and cover a broad spectrum of th	e literature.

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: