Paper: **"Risk of Complications in Patients Undergoing Complete Thyroidectomy. A Retrospective Study"**

Corresponding Author: Erjona Abazaj

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Oltiana Petri
Sports University of Tirana, Albania

Reviewer 2: Brunilda Elezi
Universiteti i Elbasanit "Aleksandër Xhuvani", Albania

Reviewer 3: Adriana Gherbon
University of Medicine and Pharmacy Timisoara, Romania

Reviewer 4: Cinaria Albadri
Trinity College Dublin University, Ireland

Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The structure of this abstract conforms to all rules, which are included the aim the methods, results and conclusion.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No, I have seen the grammatical errors. It was correct

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The method specifies in detail the work performed by the authors, starting from the selection of patients to the statistical method used.
All data in the method part are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

It was correct

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion are correct, which means that all the findings are accurate and supported the contest of the article

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Are correct

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer G:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Firstly, based on my academic knowledge, I would like to rate this work as very interesting and valuable for the clinical pathology field.

The title is clear, concise, and completely agrees with the article´s content.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is quite concise, it presents very clearly all the results found in this article.
The abstract contains all the necessary elements of an academic article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

After careful reading, I found no grammatical errors in the writing of this paper. sentences are expressed clearly and understandable by every reader.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The part of the method contains all the necessary elements such as, for example, the selection of the study population, the inclusion and exclusion of patients, and the method of data collection. At the end of this part, the statistical method used is clearly stated, highlighting some of the most significant statistical tests that have been used in the work.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

I completely agree with the way the results part is presented.
In my opinion, this part is explained very clearly and is easy to understand.
The discussions highlight the way of comparing the findings of this article with the other articles finding.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusions are short and express the most important findings of this work.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are comprehensive and appropriate for this paper.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer O:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Title is concise and adequate to the article's content

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract summarizes most of the study, and clearly presents the objects, methods and results

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The manuscript lacks spelling and grammar mistakes throughout the text, and is clear and well-organised.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Methods and data analysis are clearly defined.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Generally, the body of the paper is clear of errors, however it would be more appropriate to add graphs where applicable

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Conclusion is accurately presented and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

References are appropriate, preferable if the author/s considers up-to-date references as there are several recent studies that exist where the author can cite within the manuscript.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

It is required by the author/s to add up-to-date citations to this manuscript

----

Reviewer P:
Recommendation: See Comments

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

1. A brief background must be added in the abstract in addition to the aim of study.
2. This paragraph needs to rewrite as following " The main aim of this study was to determine the postoperative complications in patients undergoing total thyroidectomy"

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

more grammatical improvement is needed

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study METHODS are explained clearly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

1. the formal name and address of hospital are needed in the section of Materials and Methods.
2. the numbers and percentages in the tables of results need more checking

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The CONCLUSION is accurate and supported by the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

some references are very old

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

1. A brief background must be added in the abstract in addition to the aim of study
2. the formal name and address of hospital are needed
3. more reviewing is needed for percentages in the body of paper with the table 1 & table 2
4. the total numbers and percentages in table 2 need more checking.
5. there are some very old references used in this paper

Reviewer S:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. When citing reference in text use Author name and year of publication, e.g. "Nguyen et al. (2019), not numbers. Please modified.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):