

Paper: “Analysis of the Degree of Satisfaction with Life Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic in University Teachers”

Submitted: 20 December 2022

Accepted: 28 February 2023

Published: 28 February 2023

Corresponding Author: Juan Cristobal Barrón

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n5p98](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n5p98)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Nedret Kuran Burcoglu
Emeritus of Bogazici University, Turkey

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer E:
Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

It does, however the objective of the study implies quite obvious results

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No grammatical errors

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The authors mention the use of the Satisfaction with Life Scale (Atienza et al., 2000; Diener et al., 1985; Pons et al., 2002) whose components are not mentioned

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

in terms of textual coherence, it is ok. However, the results are obvious

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Obvious conclusions

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

ok

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Check the reviewer file attached

Reviewer F:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes, the TITLE of the article is very clear and it reflects the analysis done in the text on the Degree of Satisfaction with Life in University Teachers before and during the Covid-19 Pandemic.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes, the objects, methods and results of the analysis have been clearly presented in the Abstract of the paper.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Not that I have noticed!

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes, a quantitative and cross-sectional approach has been adopted in the study which is in tune with the objects studied and analysed in the article.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes, the paper is very clear and scholarly done!

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes, the reader is very well guided towards the Conclusion!

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The References are very well chosen and up-to date, that is they are in tune with the topic of the article chosen.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The subject of the paper is very topical and reflects an important situation of our day caused by the pandemic and its impact of the emotional state and psychology of teachers who are agents of communication and dealing closely with human beings. I think that this study will lead to further studies that will deal with human psyche. I have found the subject of the paper very timely and would like to congratulate the researchers/the authors of it for that.

Reviewer G:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract successfully summarises the main points of the article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The language is accurate and clear (double-check this expression: "variables degree")

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods are explained clearly. However, no limitations of the study are mentioned

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

It is clear and well-documented

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is accurate but should be expanded. For example, no limitations are mentioned (the self-perceived level of satisfaction is a subjective perception, so

further studies could take into account also qualitative data to have a deeper insight and integrate quantitative data)

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are appropriate and up-to-date

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Dear Authors,
your article is interesting and timely. I think it could be even more appreciated if you expanded the conclusions as suggested in my comments.
