

**Paper: “Cartographie et Modélisation de l’Érosion Hydrique dans le Bassin-Versant de Ogo (Nord-est du Sénégal) par Approche Statistique Bivariée de la Valeur Informatrice et Ratio de Fréquence”**

**Submitted: 15 January 2023**

**Accepted: 20 February 2023**

**Published: 28 February 2023**

Corresponding Author: Amadou Tidiane Dia

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n6p222

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mamadou Thior  
Université Assane SECK de Ziguinchor, Sénégal

Reviewer 1: Boubou Aldiouma Sy  
University Gaston Berger, Senegal

Reviewer 3: Blinded

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Boubou Aldiouma SY	
University/Country: University Gaston Berger/Senegal	
Date Manuscript Received: 20 janvier 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 22 janvier 2023
Manuscript Title : Cartographie et modélisation de l'érosion hydrique dans le bassin-versant de Ogo (Nord-est du Sénégal) par approche statistique bivariée de la valeur informative et ratio de fréquence	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 27. 58.01.2023	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Pertinent et actual</b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Bien Fedele, Tres bien</b>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Peu de fautes, style correct dans l'ensemble</b>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Tres bonne approach methodologique</b>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Resultats pertinents</b>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Cest bien</b>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<i>References bonnes</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>XX</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Bon dans ensemble.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**