

**Paper: “Efecto de la Quema de la Caña de Azúcar (*Saccharum officinarum*)
Sobre las Propiedades de los Suelos Agrícolas en la Zona Kárstica del Sur de
Quintana Roo”**

Submitted: 08 November 2022

Accepted: 21 February 2023

Published: 28 February 2023

Corresponding Author: Alberto Pereira Corona

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n6p330](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n6p330)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Federico Daniel Morla
National University of Rio Cuarto, Argentina

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

I suggest changes in the title.

Efecto de la quema de la caña de azúcar (*Saccharum officinarum*) sobre las propiedades de los suelos agrícolas en la zona kárstica del sur de Quintana Roo

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Corrections and suggestions are found in the manuscript.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Corrections and suggestions are found in the manuscript.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Corrections and suggestions are found in the manuscript.

Agregar citas bibliográficas de trabajos donde se hayan utilizado las metodologías de análisis usadas en este estudio. Software estadístico usado?

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The figures are difficult to read. Statistical data is not shown. Unclear correlation analysis.

Other corrections and suggestions are found in the manuscript.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Corrections and suggestions are found in the manuscript.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Adequate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Sugiero tengan a bien atender las correcciones y otras cuestiones señaladas en el texto del manuscrito. Con esto podrán mejorar sustancialmente la calidad del presente trabajo.
