EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

Paper: "The Effect of National Public Debt on Economic Growth in Kenya"

YEARS

Submitted: 09 January 2023 Accepted: 20 March 2023 Published: 31 March 2023

Corresponding Author: Onkoba Ongeri Benedicto

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n7p79

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blesing Chinelo University of Africa, Toru-Orua, Bayelsa State, Nigeria

Reviewer 2: Maka Ghaniashvili Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Georgia

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Oribhabor Chinelo Blessing		
University/Country: University of Africa, Toru-Orua, Bayelsa State/ Nigeria		
Date Manuscript Received: 11 th March 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 12 th March 2023	
Manuscript Title: THE EFFECT OF NATIONAL PUBLIC DEBT ON ECONOMIC GROWTH IN KENYA		
ESJ Manuscript Number: ESJ 47.01.2023		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		
You approve, this review report is available in th	e "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>T</i> itle is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
The abstract is presents objects, methods and results clearly	,
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in t	his article.
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
The study methods are explained clearly	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
The recommendation needs to be written separately from conclu	ısion
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
The references are not comprehensive and appropriate. Son were not referenced.	ne cited authors

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Your 'Reference page' needs to be re-worked

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Maka Ghaniashvili		
University/Country: Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Georgia		
Date Manuscript Received: March 11, 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: March 14, 2023	
Manuscript Title: THE EFFECT OF NATIONAL PUBLIC DEBT ON ECONOMIC GROWTH IN KENYA		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0147/23		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
It would be better if the study methods were explained more clearly and in detail.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: