

Paper: “Aux Sources de la Pollution Urbaine : Le Contexte des Villes Européennes au Second Moyen Âge”

Submitted: 31 January 2023

Accepted: 08 March 2023

Published: 31 March 2023

Corresponding Author: Gilles Paché

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n8p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n8p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Durand Bruno
Université Paris – Nanterre, France

Reviewer 2: Sanni Babio
University of Parakou, Benin

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Bruno DURAND	
University/Country: Université Paris - Nanterre / France	
Date Manuscript Received: 23/02/03	Date Review Report Submitted: 23/02/10
Manuscript Title: Aux sources de la pollution urbaine	
ESJ Manuscript Number: ISSN 1857-7881	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>Le titre donne vraiment envie de lire l'article !</i>	

<i>The title really makes you want to read the article!</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>Le résumé répond tout-à-fait à l'objectif assigné. The abstract fully meets the assigned objective.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>Cet article est parfaitement écrit, tant au niveau de l'orthographe que du style. This article is perfectly written, both in terms of spelling and style.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<p><i>La méthodologie se veut de nature réflexive. La recherche est conduite selon deux axes : (1) les origines commerciales et industrielles de la souillure dans les villes ; (2) l'action des autorités urbaines. La grille de lecture proposée est, on ne peut plus, intéressante : elle permet de mettre en évidence des convergences entre la ville médiévale et le concept, très actuel, de ville durable.</i></p> <p><i>Le commerce de la viande et le tannage des peaux, notamment, soulignent l'ancienneté de la "logistique des déchets", qu'ils soient commerciaux ou industriels, pointant ainsi le délicat problème de la gestion des flux de détritux.</i></p> <p><i>L'auteur termine son approche par : (1) les premières interventions - ordonnances - des autorités urbaines (taxes et délocalisation des activités polluantes); (2) le changement de l'état d'esprit de la population urbaine - la peste ayant notamment permis de prendre conscience de l'importance de l'hygiène.</i></p> <p><i>The methodology is intended to be reflexive in nature. The research is conducted according to two axes: (1) the commercial and industrial origins of soil in cities; (2) the action of urban authorities. The proposed reading grid is very interesting: it makes it possible to highlight convergences between the medieval city and the very current concept of sustainable city.</i></p> <p><i>The meat trade and the tanning of skins, in particular, underline the age-old nature of "waste logistics", whether commercial or industrial, pointing to the delicate problem of managing waste streams.</i></p> <p><i>The author concludes his approach by: (1) the first interventions - ordinances - of the urban authorities (taxes and delocalisation of polluting activities); (2) the change of the state of mind of the urban population - the plague has made it possible to become aware of the importance of hygiene.</i></p>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<p><i>Les résultats sont clairs et très riches.</i></p> <p><i>Peut-être que l'auteur aurait pu, cependant, faire davantage le lien avec deux tendances très actuelles : les circuits courts et l'économie circulaire...</i></p> <p><i>The results are clear and very rich.</i></p>	

<i>Perhaps the author could, however, have made more of a link to two very current trends: short circuits and circular economy...</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>La conclusion est pertinente : ce plongeon dans l'histoire est utile pour mieux comprendre les enjeux du monde actuel !</i> <i>The conclusion is relevant: this dive into history is useful to better understand the stakes of the current world!</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Les références bibliographiques sont riches (32) et, surtout, très appropriées.</i> <i>The bibliographic references are rich (32) and, above all, very appropriate.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Rejeet	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Bravo !

Le titre et le résumé donnent vraiment envie de lire l'article !

Article parfaitement écrit - style très agréable.

Méthodologie de nature reflexive, conduite selon : (1) les origines commerciales et industrielles de la souillure dans les villes ; (2) l'action des autorités urbaines.

Grille de lecture permettant de mettre en évidence des convergences entre la ville médiévale et la ville durable.

Résultats clairs et riches. Conclusion pertinente.

Références bibliographiques riches (32) et appropriées.

Bravo!

The title and abstract really make you want to read the article!

Article perfectly written - very nice style.

Reflexive methodology, conducted according to: (1) the commercial and industrial origins of soiling in cities; (2) the action of urban authorities.

Reading grid to highlight convergences between medieval city and sustainable city.

Clear and rich results. Relevant conclusion.

Rich and appropriate bibliographic references.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Le titre et le résumé donnent vraiment envie de lire l'article !

Article parfaitement écrit - style très agréable.

Méthodologie de nature reflexive, conduite selon : (1) les origines commerciales et industrielles de la souillure dans les villes ; (2) l'action des autorités urbaines.
Grille de lecture permettant de mettre en évidence des convergences entre la ville médiévale et la ville durable.

Résultats clairs et riches. Conclusion pertinente.

Références bibliographiques riches (32) et appropriées.

The title and abstract really make you want to read the article!

Article perfectly written - very nice style.

Reflexive methodology, conducted according to: (1) the commercial and industrial origins of soiling in cities; (2) the action of urban authorities.

Reading grid to highlight convergences between medieval city and sustainable city.

Clear and rich results. Relevant conclusion.

Rich and appropriate bibliographic references.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: BABIO	
University/Country: University of Parakou (BENIN)	
Date Manuscript Received: 14/02/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/02/2023
Manuscript Title: Aux Sources de la Pollution Urbaine : Le Contexte des Villes Européennes au Second Moyen Âge	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0231/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Rappeler les objectifs de l'étude et sa nature dans le résumé

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: