

**Paper: “Contact de Langues dans le Roman Marocain Contemporain :
Représentation et Réception Augmentée en Classe du Français au Cycle
d’Ingénieurs”**

Submitted: 21 January 2023

Accepted: 20 February 2023

Published: 31 March 2023

Corresponding Author: Abdellah Salmi

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n8p69](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n8p69)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Abdelkhaleq, Jayed
Université Ibn Zohr-Agadir, Morocco

Reviewer 2: Jonas Kwabla Fiadzawoo
University for Development Studies (UDS), Tamale, Ghana

Reviewer 3: Justine Ngang Forchap
University Institute of Science and Technology of Central Africa, Cameroon

Reviewer H:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT does not clearly present objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are not many grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Good reseach. Could have been better if the abstract included tools used and methodology for obtaining results.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Jonas Kwabla Fiadzawoo	
University/Country: Ghana	
Date Manuscript Received: 2023-03-06	Date Review Report Submitted: 2023-03-11
Manuscript Title: "Language contact in the contemporary Moroccan novel: representation and increased reception in class of French in the engineering cycle"	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 16346-Article Text-47421-1-4-20230121	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>(Yes, the title is clear)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(In deed the abstract is quite good, and clearly presented the objects and collection instruments, analysis and results. But the author needs to suggest few recommendations)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>No error seen in the work</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(The study methods were well explained)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(The results were very clear and did not contain errors)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(The conclusions are accurate and supported by the content but the author needs to integrate the arguments about the status of the French language in Morocco, both in the introduction and the conclusion)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(the references are comprehensive and appropriate</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

It will be ideal, you talk briefly on the status of the French language in Morocco in your introduction, to enable you portray a very sound argument in the conclusion. All the best.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

I think this work is very good and deserves to be published.

Thank you.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Abdelkhaleq JAYED	
University/Country: Université Ibn Zohr-Agadir (Morocco)	
Date Manuscript Received: 31 Janvier 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 20 Février 2023
Manuscript Title: Contact de langues dans le roman marocain contemporain : représentation et réception augmentée en classe du français au cycle d'ingénieurs	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No: YES	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No : YES	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No: YES	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le titre est très clair et il correspond au contenu de l'article	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le résumé reflète le contenu de l'article et expose clairement les objectifs, la méthode et les résultats de la recherche	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Je n'ai relevé aucune erreur de grammaire, ni aucun bevue ou coquille	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthode utilisée est expliquée au début de l'article	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les résultats sont clairs et clairement exprimés	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les conclusions sont précises	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les références de l'article sont avouées et appropriées aux besoins de l'enquête	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L'auteur a fait un bon article. La question de la dimension Culturelle des oeuvres romanesques comme motivation supplémentaire pour la lecture doit toutefois être approfondie.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: