

Paper: “La Campagne du Boycott 2018 au Maroc Comme Forme de Solidarité et d'Expression d'Indignation”

Submitted: 10 February 2023

Accepted: 22 March 2023

Published: 31 March 2023

Corresponding Author: Ben Youssef Imane

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n8p101](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n8p101)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Guy Edoun
LADYD, UAC, Bénin

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: EDOUN Guy	
University/Country: LADYD /UAC-Bénin	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted: 11-03-2023
Manuscript Title: La campagne du boycott 2018 au Maroc comme forme de solidarité et d'expression d'indignation	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 48.02.2023	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>Le titre de l'article est assez clair et compréhensible</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>Le résumé certes assez clair ne ressort pas l'objectif de l'étude ou la question de recherche. La conclusion est assez étriquée. Veuillez aussi à ce que les mots clés ne dépassent pas cinq (05) en y insérant le pays.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Les fautes et d'erreurs sont très limitées dans le document, un papier assez bien rédigé.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>La méthode d'étude est Claire et adapté pour le genre d'étude. En dehors de l'analyse du contenu, préciser les autres outils d'analyse utilisés</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>Les résultats sont clairs et contiennent peu d'erreurs</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>La conclusion est assez précise, concise et claire</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Les références sont compréhensives et appropriées</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L'auteur aura précisé l'objectif de l'étude et le ressortir clairement dans le résumé. Il sera aussi intéressant de préciser les outils d'analyse des données. Des fautes mineures sont à traquer dans le document pour améliorer sa qualité

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Le document est assez bien élaborer et mérite d'être publié dans la revue ESJ sous réserve dans la prise en compte de quelques rares observations.