

Paper: “Aspects Epidemiologiques Cliniques et Paracliniques des Fasciites Necrosantes au Centre Hospitalo-universitaire Pediatrique de Bangui (CHUPB)”

Submitted: 20 December 2022

Accepted: 20 March 2023

Published: 31 March 2023

Corresponding Author: Falmata Lénguébanga Gabouga

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n9p67](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n9p67)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Moise Valimungighe
Universite Catholique du Graben, Congo

Reviewer 2: Choua Ouchemi
University of N'Djamena, Chad

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: CHOUA OUCHEMI	
University/Country: UNIVERSITY OF N'DJAMENA	
Date Manuscript Received: MARCH 11 TH 23	Date Review Report Submitted: MARCH 12 TH 23
Manuscript Title: ASPECTS EPIDEMIOLOGIQUES, CLINIQUES ET PARACLIQUES DES FASCIITES NECROSANTES AU CENTRE HOSPITALO-UNIVERSITAIRE PEDIATRIQUE DE BANGUI (CHUPB).	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0107/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(YES, THE TITLE IS CLEAR AND ADEQUATE TO THE CONTENT OF THE ARTICLE)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(YES, THE ABSTRACT CLEARLY PRESENTS OBJECTS, METHODS AND RESULTS)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3,5
<i>(THERE ARE FEW GRAMMATICAL ERRORS AND SPELLING MISTAKES IN THIS ARTICLE)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(THESE STUDY METHODS ARE EXPLAINED CLEARLY)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(THE RESULTS ARE CLEAR AND DO NOT CONTAIN ERRORS)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(THE CONCLUSION SUMMARY ARE ACCURATE AND SUPPORTED BY THE CONTENT)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3,5
<i>(THE REFERENCES ARE COMPREHENSIVE AND APPROPRIATE BUT SOME OF THEM ARE NOT VERY RECENT)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

PLEASE USE MORE RECENT REFERENCES FOR THE DISCUSSION FOR OTHER ARTICLES

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: MUHINDO VALIMUNGIGHE Moïse	
University/Country: UNIVERSITE CATHOLIQUE DU GRABEN /REP. DEM. DU CONGO	
Date Manuscript Received: 22/02/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/02/2023
Manuscript Title: ASPECTS EPIDEMIOLOGIQUES, CLINIQUES ET PARACLINIQUES DES FASCIITES NECROSANTES AU CENTRE HOSPITALO-UNIVERSITAIRE PEDIATRIQUE DE BANGUI (CHUPB).	
ESJ Manuscript Number: Paper for review 0107/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3,75
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> PAS TROP CLAIR, NOUS AVONS PROPOSER UNE FORMULATION DU TITRE	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>LE RESUME EST BIEN ECRIT IL VA FALLOIR REAJUSTER SEULEMENT</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>PAS TROP D'ERREURS GRAMATICALES</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>BONNE METHODOLOGIE ET PRECISE</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3,75
<i>IL YA CERTAINS RESULTATS QUI MANQUENT, QU IL FAUT COMPLETER</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>LA CONCLUSION EST BIEN FAITE</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>METTRE A JOUR LES REFERENCES IL Y EN DE PLUS DE 20 ANS</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

NOUS FELICITONS L'EQUIPE DE REDACTION POUR CE SUJET D'ACTUALITE ET QUI ENTRAINE BEAUCOUP DE DECES SUITE AU RETARD DE PRISE EN CHARGE, LA FASCIITE NECROSANTE PENOSCROTALE EST LA PLUS DECRITE, LE FOURNIER TENIR COMPTE DES RAMARQUES CONTENUES DANS LE TEXTE

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

TOUJOURS ENVOYER LE TEXTE AVEC LES RECOMMANDATIONS AUX

AUTEURS

MERCI BEAUCOUP



**6 REASONS TO
PUBLISH WITH ESJ**

- Visibility
- Agility
- Internationalisation
- Quality
- Transparency
- Open Access



**EUROPEAN
SCIENTIFIC
JOURNAL**