

Paper: “Aspects Paracliniques et Étiologiques des Ascites au CHUSC de Bangui”

Submitted: 03 January 2023

Accepted: 10 March 2023

Published: 31 March 2023

Corresponding Author: Oumarou Youssouf

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n9p79

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kouandongui Francky

Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Maman Elisabeth Domitien de Bimbo, Centrafrique

Reviewer 2: Segbedji Rene

Université de Kara, Togo

Reviewer 3: Chigblo Pascal

University of Abomey Calavi , Benin

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 13/01/2023	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Aspects paracliniques et étiologiques des ascites au CHUSC de Bangui	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 34 01 20 23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	04

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	02
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	03
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	03
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	0
<i>(No conclusion in the document)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	03
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: CHIGBLO Pascal	
University/Country: University of Abomey Calavi , BENIN	
Date Manuscript Received: 02/03/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 07/03/2023
Manuscript Title: Aspects paracliniques et étiologiques des ascites au CHUSC de Bangui	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0134/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>Needs updating according to our remarks in the text</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Needs updating according to our remarks in the text</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>contains some errors which require correction according to our remarks in the text</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>contains some errors which require correction according to our remarks in the text</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Méthodologie ;

Même si votre travail porte sur les aspects vous devez préciser sommairement les aspects socio démographiques (âge moyen avec les extrêmes, sex-ratio) et clinique macroscopique (jaune citrin, hémorragique,)

Si vous ne dites rien sur le sexe par exemple, vous ne pouvez pas présenter les résultats des tableaux 4 à 7 qui sont en fonction du sexe

Si vous ne dites rien sur l'aspect clinique macroscopique du liquide par exemple, vous ne pouvez pas en parler dans la discussion

Résultats

Détailler les résultats paracliniques

La teneur moyenne en protéine, les valeurs extrêmes et la répartition (tableau ou graphique)

La répartition détaillée de la teneur en globules blancs (au lieu de inf a 250 et Sup ou égal a 250, faire par exemple 39-50, 51- 100, 101-150, 151-200, 201- 250 , 251- 300, 301- 350 et sup a 350

Mettre tous les « p » en minuscules tableaux 4 a 7

Discussion

Vous discutez l'aspect macroscopique du liquide alors que vous n'avez pas présentez ce résultat, d'où la nécessité de le présenter

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

After correction by the author, send me the paper for verification

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 02 MARS 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 02 MARS 2023
Manuscript Title: Aspects paracliniques et étiologiques des ascites au CHUSC de Bangui	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 34. 01. 2023	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: