

Paper: “Les Formes des Démarches Qualité dans les Collectivités Locales Marocaines”

Submitted: 06 February 2023

Accepted: 02 April 2023

Published: 30 April 2023

Corresponding Author: Larbi Sbaiss

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n10p79](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n10p79)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Michel Barnabé Atanga Essama
Université de Yaoundé II-Soa, Cameroun

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: ATANGA ESSAMA Michel. B	
University/Country: Université de Yaoundé II-Soa (Cameroun)	
Date Manuscript Received: 14/03/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 23/03/2023
Manuscript Title: Les forms des démarches qualité dans les collectivités locales marocaines	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:	Yes/No Yes
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	3/5	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
------------------	-----	---

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4/5	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Le résumé est assez clair	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3,5/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Justement, il y a des coquilles toutefois celles-ci ne dénaturent en rien ce texte qui est bien écrit en un style simple et assez convaincant	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Justement les methods sont assez explicites et permettent de mieux lire le texte et de comprendre l'auteur dans toute sa démonstration	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3,5/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Assez étoffés	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3,5/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Elle respecte bien les canons d'une conclusion et est assez complète	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3,5/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<i>Ces références sont acceptables mais très insuffisantes et mérites d'être étoffés.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	OUI
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Votre travail est acceptable et bien digeste, toutefois, votre bibliographie est insuffisante et mérite d'être étoffée pour rendre ce travail davanatage efficace.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: