

Paper: “La Propriété de l’Entreprise Affecte-t-elle l’Évaluation de Son Cours d’Introduction en Bourse ? Le Cas de Deux Entreprises Tunisiennes”

Submitted: 14 February 2023

Accepted: 21 April 2023

Published: 30 April 2023

Corresponding Author: Tejeddine Ben Ouali

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n10p96](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n10p96)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Aicha El Alaoui
Sultan My Slimane University, Morocco

Reviewer 4: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: March 6, 23	Date Review Report Submitted: March 25, 23
Manuscript Title: <p style="text-align: center;">La propriété de l'entreprise affecte-t-elle l'évaluation de son cours d'introduction en bourse ? Le Cas de deux entreprises tunisiennes</p>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: Review 5202/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/ No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/ No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>Without punctuation: All title should be without punctuation (. ; ? ! and so on) L'auteur(e)s ne doit pas utiliser les ponctuations à la fin des titres/ sous titres</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
<i>L'auteur(e)(s) doit modifier le résumé :</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Ajouter la période de l'étude</i> • Ajouter la méthodologie 	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	1
<i>Le manuscrit/ l'article doit être révisé.</i>	
<i>La source de tableau 3 n'est pas mentionnée.</i>	
<i>Réécrire les équations par l'"Editor Equation" (disponible sur Microsoft Word)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>L'auteur(e)(s) doit réécrire la méthodologie, la problématique et les hypothèses clairement dans l'introduction.</i>	
<i>L'auteur(e)(s) doit définir clairement le choix des cas étudiés, c'est préférable dans la section 4.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	1
<i>L'auteur(e)(s) doit citer toutes les références utilisées dans la section de référence et vice versa.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation)

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: