

Paper: “The Impact of Religious Beliefs and Practices on Coping with Health Challenges Posed by Covid-19”

Submitted: 24 March 2023

Accepted: 13 April 2023

Published: 30 April 2023

Corresponding Author: Penelope Anastasiadou

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n11p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n11p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Sumbal Sumbal
Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Pakistan

Reviewer 2: Julius Gathogo
University of South Africa, South Africa

Reviewer J:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title "The Role of the Religion vis-à-vis the 'Health Challenges' of Covid-19" is clear and provides a general idea of the topic to be discussed in the paper. However, it could be improved by being made more specific to accurately convey the main focus of the research. For instance, the title could be revised to something like:
"The Impact of Religious Beliefs and Practices on Coping with Health Challenges Posed by Covid-19"
This revised title provides more clarity about the focus of the research and what the reader can expect to learn from reading the paper.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes it is clear

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Need to be check for grammatical mistakes.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes it is clear.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Need to be more specific in your conclusion. It would help to provide a clear summary of your findings and make your paper more effective in communicating your main points.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Clarify your references and remove any extra line spacing. It will help make your information easier to read and understand.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I think it would be helpful to make some changes in your research paper to clarify certain sections and improve its overall coherence. This will better support your arguments and help to effectively communicate your ideas.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Prof. Dr. Julius Gathogo	
University/Country: KENYA	
Date Manuscript Received: 23 MARCH 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 7 APRIL 2023
Manuscript Title: The role of the religion vis-à-vis the 'health challenges' of COVID-19	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No YES	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3

The author should ensure COVID-19 is in capital so that it reads as follows: The role of the religion vis-à-vis the 'health challenges' of COVID-19 Further title can be revised to read better and avoid using article THE twice:	
Religious role vis-à-vis the 'health challenges' of COVID-19	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
Remove "AIM" and proof-read so as to fix typos	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
proof-reading will help fix a few typos	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Its OK</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>Looks OK</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
separate discussion with conclusion conclusion needs to be there, and it should remind us about the original aim of the paper, then move on to summarize findings, then give way forward	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Its OK</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: