

Paper: **“The Modern State After Kant A Materialist Approach”**

Submitted: 02 January 2023

Accepted: 13 April 2023

Published: 30 April 2023

Corresponding Author: Dariush M.Doust

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n11p13](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n11p13)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Robertas Pukenis
Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 13.03.2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 14.03.2023
Manuscript Title: The Modern State After Kant A Materialist Approach	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0129/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/ <u>No</u>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/ <u>No</u>	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
The title is clear and well-written	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5

The abstract is clear and well-written	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
The text should be linguistically polished	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	/
This text is descriptive research.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
Yes	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
Yes, of course	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
Yes	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The author should cite the following sources:

1. Maurya, S. K. . (2021). THE CONCEPT OF JUSTICE IN REFERENCE WITH PHILOSOPHIES OF PLATO AND ARISTOTLE: A CRITICAL STUDY. *Journal of Liberty and International Affairs*, 7(3), 250-266.
<https://doi.org/10.47305/JLIA21370250mand>

2. Maurya, S. K. . (2022). ON JUST, JUSTICE AND DISTRIBUTIVE JUSTICE: A CRITICAL AND COMPARATIVE DISCOURSE. *Journal of Liberty and International Affairs*, 8(2), 240-254.
<https://doi.org/10.47305/JLIA2282240m>

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

No

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Robertas Pukenis	
University/Country: VDU , Lithuania	
Date Manuscript Received: 03/13	Date Review Report Submitted: 03/23
Manuscript Title: The Modern State After Kant. A Materialist Approach	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0129/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

It would be better to change the title: The modern state in Kant's philosophy. With the Treaty of Westphalia (1648), the features of the modern state begin to take shape. It is therefore very rational that Kant's philosophy was already based on the reality of the new state

Why is the emphasis now on the title: "A Materialist Approach" .After all, the concepts have been mentioned here by many authors, and on the other hand neither Marx nor Engels' communist approach to the state has worked.

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
---	----------

(Please insert your comments)

As the topic is complex and broad, the summary should be more comprehensive, answering all the parameters of the paper: object, method and result.

<i>As far as I am able to know English, which is a complex philosophical language, it seems to be fluent and conveys the message well.</i> <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	4
--	----------

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
--	----------

(Please insert your comments)

E veryone has a method of self-writing, but I found this article difficult to read. Maybe it could be simplified, with less quotations and more of my own concept of the state. However, I am not saying that the whole content is wrong. Perhaps it would be better to structure the teaching: the state acts in the public sphere (international law) and is the creator of domestic national law. It protects the rights of its citizens through the adoption of a national constitution. The constitution determines the form of government. It would therefore be necessary to list the features of the state and then the philosophical reasoning would become more comprehensible.

5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
--	----------

(Please insert your comments)

I was waiting for the author to come to an important conclusion: the modern state, in the course of its history, after the rise and fall of dictatorships, has finally recognised the importance of the rule of law, and societies in the West live in a context of the rule of law and the separation of powers (legislative, executive and judiciary). This guarantees individual liberty. This is how the state serves the individual.

And societies that have not been able to get rid of Marxism are experiencing the consequences of totalitarian regimes. Man is made a cog in the machine of the state.

To answer Foucault's statement: "Along with the state's increased presence, at a later stage, we find the employment of statistical methods, medical devices

and surveillance techniques that enable the modern state to regulate human beings' biological life" (Foucault 2003). That was a long time ago. Gradually, people won many rights and privileges to improve their lives. The collapse of the communist states in Eastern and Central Europe and the general transition of nations to the democratic model of the Western underworld are evidence of this.

6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.

4

(Please insert your comments)

The introductory thoughts could be more mature, as the topic is complex. "A black woman boards a public bus and sits next to a member of a so-called privileged race in a southern US state in the 1960s, and much later a group of refugees invents new ways of crossing the border and travelling across the desert or the dark, cold sea of Northern Europe".

7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.

5

(Please insert your comments)

Although it is better to find the source, and where it is, when it is done in footnotes

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	Ok
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: