

Paper: “Cryptocurrency Abuse for the Purposes of Money Laundering and Terrorism Financing: Policies and Practical Aspects in the European Union and North Macedonia”

Submitted: 26 February 2023

Accepted: 26 April 2023

Published: 30 April 2023

Corresponding Author: Ice Ilijevski

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n11p100

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Amir Mohammad Sohrabian
International Information Technology University (IITU), Kazakhstan

Reviewer 2: Ngwengh Beloke
University of Biea, Cameroon

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer 4: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Beloke Brendaline	
University/Country: Cameroon	
Date Manuscript Received: 25 th /04/2023	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: CRYPTOCURRENCY ABUSE FOR THE PURPOSES OF MONEY LAUNDERING AND TERRORISM FINANCING: POLICIES AND PRACTICAL ASPECTS IN THE EUROPEAN UNION AND NORTH MACEDONIA	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>Yes I agree</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>Abstract is not holistic. Objectives have been presented but the sources, methodology and results are absent</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Few grammatical errors in the methodological section. It should be proof read. For example second statement under methodology</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Would have been better if the techniques of data analysis were included in the methodological section</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>The results are clear but suggestions are made for a summary to ease understanding</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>It is clear. It clearly brings out a major way in which the abuse on the use of crypto-currency can be stopped at the international level since it operates on block chain</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>References do not clearly follow the latest version (7th or 8th)APA style of presented. Some authors names are joint and that needs to be separated</i>	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Abstract is not holistic. Objectives have been presented but the sources, methodology and results are absent

Few grammatical errors in the methodological section. It should be proof read. For example second statement under methodology. Would have been better if the techniques of data analysis were included in this section

References do not clearly follow the latest version (7th or 8th) APA style of presented.
Some authors' names are joint and that needs to be separated

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: