

**Paper: “Analyse Socio-anthropologique des Déterminants des Conflits Liés à la Succession des Classes d’Âge chez les « TCHAMAN » à Abidjan (Côte d’Ivoire)”**

**Submitted: 20 January 2023**

**Accepted: 06 April 2023**

**Published: 30 April 2023**

Corresponding Author: Nanan Doh N’guessan Gérard

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n11p163](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n11p163)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Raoul Assi  
UFHB, Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Dounia Bere  
Université de l’Alliance Chrétienne d’Abidjan (UACA), Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Blinded

-----  
Reviewer B:  
Recommendation: Resubmit for Review  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Le titre de l'article est clair et conforme au contenu.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

Le résumé de l'article présente clairement les objectifs de l'étude, ainsi que les méthodes et les résultats.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Le texte proposé par l'auteur contient peu de fautes grammaticales et d'expression.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Un effort de clarté doit être fait par l'auteur concernant la méthodologie de travail adoptée. Des suggestions à ce propos lui ont été faites sur le document.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

Le corps de l'article est dans l'ensemble bien structuré. Toutefois, le texte est truffé de nombreuses redondances et phrases pas vraiment opportunes, contribuant à son allongement inutile. Aussi, de nombreuses erreurs de construction et la complexification exagérée de certaines phrases ont rendu des parties du texte difficiles à comprendre.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

La conclusion a le mérite d'être précis et de reposer sur le contenu du texte.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

L'auteur devra faire un effort d'harmonisation des références bibliographiques.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Cet article, bien que concernant une problématique actuelle et prégnante dans les sociétés urbaines africaines, souffre de nombreuses irrégularités rédactionnelles et insuffisances méthodologiques. L'auteur trouvera sur le document corrigé, des observations écrites qui lui permettront de rapidement réajuster son travail pour le soumettre à nouveau.

-----

-----  
Reviewer E:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Yes, the title is acceptable. But it will be more adequate as follows:  
ANALYSE SOCIO-ANTHROPOLOGIQUE DES CAUSES DES CONFLITS LIÉS  
À LA SUCCESSION DES CLASSES D'ÂGE CHEZ LES TCHAMAN À ABIDJAN  
(CÔTE D'IVOIRE)

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

Yes, the object, methods and results can be identified in the abstract.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Yes, there are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in the article. The use of punctuations is to be seriously reviewed.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The methods are presented but not clearly explained. The field of study is not clearly limited; not clear if it is the entire Abidjan town or only Tchaman villages within it.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The body of the paper needs clarification in many parts - see my comments on the article in track change mod.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The conclusion did not go stright to the conclusions of the study; there are lot of brought statistics on Abidjan as a town that are not relevent to the conclusions of the study. Most importantly, the main theory mobilized to support the study has been evoked no where in the discussion of results, jeopardizing the scientificity of the study.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

I did not have time to properly review all the refefences. Sorry for that.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

- There is need to properly define the names of generations after their first occurrences and precise that Tchaman and Ebrié are interchangeable.
- The punctuations needs to be properly reviewed;
- There are lots of generalities on Abidjan; relevent data on Tchaman are to be presented to support the study;
- How the study satifies the rational choice theory of Montousse & Renouard (2005) is to be demonstrated in the discussion part before reaching the conclusion;
- Avoid repeatition of full paragraphs.

-----

-----

Reviewer F:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

the title is clear and unambiguous. The authors expose through the title a socio-anthropological approach to the analysis of intergenerational conflicts between age groups.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The summary is too detailed, it highlights information such as the typology of conflicts and the proportion of respondents. These data are not adequate for the abstract which must be succinct (situation of the subject, objective, theoretical and methodological approach and axes of results).

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

the article is well written and grammatical and spelling errors are minor. However, the text presents irregularities relating to the characters of writing, capital letters (ex: US et CUSTOMES...), the non-French words are not put in italics (ex: Tchaman). Discrepancy in the writing of the conjunction "et" often presented on this form &. Neglect to accentuate capitals in the text.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The methods of the study are clearly expressed. However, it lacks precision and is not exhaustive. The authors affirm that they use the non-probabilistic method whereas they carry out a quantitative and qualitative approach. In this sense, the probabilistic method is also adequate insofar as this study is qualitative and quantitative. Also, they say they use the technique of reasoned choice without first defining the inclusion and exclusion criteria. They adopt a subjective approach to data collection. As proof, the data presented are not derived from sampling techniques. It should have two sampling techniques, one qualitative (reasoned choice) and the other quantitative (eg random choice...). The number of respondents is excessive (550) for one article. Which is too big a size for this type of exercise. This could be suitable for a macro scale study. No logic between this number and the quality of the data presented.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The body of the work is presented in four structures (introduction, results, discussion, conclusion). Just as the introduction does not include any numerology, the conclusion should not include numerology (V. Conclusion), both do not admit a numeration sequence. Figure 2 should present the title above the diagram and not at the bottom, page 8. Also, there is an annotation error in the figure on page 10, still referred to as figure 2. Finally, there is an excessive juxtaposition of verbatims in the text.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The conclusion reflects the work carried out by the authors. However, they bring back statistical aspects of the text still in this part which should prevail the lessons which they draw some from these statistics, which would contribute to shorten. The conclusion. The conclusion is long and detailed. Half a page would be sufficient.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

The bibliography is excessive and partially takes into account the documents presented in the text. It is also poorly written, non-compliance with the standard in this area. The authors have intertwined the processes of bibliographic writing.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]



**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The authors absolutely must review the sample size, sampling methods and techniques. The quality of the data is average and does not indicate rigorous field work. The authors must take into account the remarks of funds and forms proposed in the evaluation grid.

-----