

Paper: “Sécurité Alimentaire au Bénin: Les Grandes Familles de Pratiques Agro-écologiques Adoptées dans les Zones Cotonnières”

Submitted: 13 November 2021

Accepted: 10 April 2023

Published: 30 April 2023

Corresponding Author: Babio Soumanou

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n12p32

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Harouna Issa

Université Abdou Moumouni, Niger

Reviewer 2: Ahouangninou Claude

Université Catholique de Louvain (UCLouvain), Belgique

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 09 Janvier 2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 16 Janvier 2022
Manuscript Title: Sécurité alimentaire au Bénin: les grandes familles de pratiques agro-écologiques adoptées dans les zones cotonnières	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>The title should be revised to better reflect the content.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4.5

<i>The abstract contains the objects, methods and results.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4.25
<i>Few errors were detected.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4.5
<i>The study methods are explained clearly.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4.5
<i>The results are clear.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>The conclusion is clear</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
<i>No references in the file</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I suggest that the author revise the title and insert the references.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

None

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr Harouna Issa Amadou, MC	
University/Country: Université Abdou Moumouni du Niger	
Date Manuscript Received: 26/05/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 06/06/2022
Manuscript Title: Sécurité alimentaire au Bénin: les grandes familles de pratiques agro-écologiques adoptées dans les zones cotonnières	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 90.11.2921	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]	
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2

Le titre ne correspond pas au contenu de l'article par consequent je recommande une reformulation du titre.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Voir texte	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>Voir texte</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
Revoir la méthodologie (voir texte)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
Les résultats sont clairs mais mal discutés avec quatres auteurs dont les résultats corroborant.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>Voir le texte</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
<i>Le document n'a pas de references</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Il faut remarquer le titre ne cadre pas avec le contenu car nul par l'auteur n'a fait cas de l'impacte des ces différentes pratiques sur la sécurité alimentaire au Bénin. **L'autre a décrit plutot l'état des grandes familles de pratiques agro-écologiques.**

Dabord au niveau de l'introduction ne reflète pas le contenu. La méthodologie n'est pas Claire car tant tot l'auteur parle de choix aléatoire alors dans un autre paragraphe, il dit le contraire (Voir le texte). La carte des zones d'étude est vide alors doit etre remplacée. L'auteur a décrit les grandes familles mais ces résultats sont faiblement discutés car il a parlé de quatres auteurs don't leurs resultats corroborant au sien. D'autres observations sont dans le texte. **Le document ne comporte pas de references.**

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

