EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

Paper: "Diagnostic et Traitement des Complications des Suites de Couches au Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Kara"

YEARS

Submitted: 16 January 2023 Accepted: 10 April 2023 Published: 30 April 2023

Corresponding Author: Geoffroy Tenete

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n12p70

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Martial K. Amegnona Université de Lomé, Togo

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Niaina Ezra Randriamanovontsoa Faculté de Médecine de Toamasina, Madagascar

Reviewer 4: Hannatou Sanoussi Centre hospitalier régional de Niamey, Niger

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: BARARMNA- BAGOU	
University/Country: Lomé/Togo	
Date Manuscript Received: 21/02/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/02/2023
Manuscript Title: complications following and prognostic aspects. About 300 cases co Kara	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 20-63-23-01	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the	he paper: Yes
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this p paper: Yes	paper, is available in the "review history" of the
You approve, this review report is available in the	"review history" of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
Use childbirth at the place of post partum in word keys	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
Add chirurgical traitement like hystérectomie, vascular surgery You can use table to présente it Precise the moment when those complications happen immedia Precise hemorrhagic complications Add sepsis because we see in prognosis that one patiente died b aspect,	ttely or later
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
In the conclusion you talk about clinical without have clinical a	spect in the results
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
(Please insert your comments)	

X

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: MIGBEGNA Komi A		
University/Country: université de Lomé	(UL) / TOGO	
Date Manuscript Received: 21/02/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 27/02/2023	
Manuscript Title: Complications following childbirth: epidemiological, therapeutic and prognostic aspects. About 300 cases collected at the maternity ward of the CHU Kara		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0165/23		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of	the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper: Yes	paper, is available in the "review history" of the	
You approve, this review report is available in th	e "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
(Le titre devrait ê tre en francais puis traduit en anglais)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
(Please insert your comments)	

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L'article est trop volumineux il faut redure

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 11/03/23	Date Review Report Submitted: 16/03/23	
Manuscript Title: Les complications survenant dans les suites couches : Diagnostic et prise en charge à propos de 300 cas colligés au CHU- Kara de 2017 à 2020.		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0163/23		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		
You approve, this review report is available in the	ne "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
(Please insert your comments) Titre très long	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
(Please insert your comments) Eviter les répétitions. Se référer dans le "Mesh" pour les	s mots clés.

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
Il faut une cohesion entre les paramètres énoncés dans le résultats. Eviter de parachuter un variable non cité dans l rubrique des résultats. Supprimer les variables qui n'ont	le méthode dans la
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
Résultats à présenter sur une figure ou un tableau.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:11/03/2023	Date Review Report Submitted:		
Manuscript Title: les complications survenant dans les suites de couches: Diagnostic et prise en charge à propos de 300 cas colligés au CHU-KARA de 2017à 2020.			
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0163/23			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the pa	per: /No		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, paper: Yes/	is available in the "review history" of the		

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/

Evaluation Criteria:

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4

(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
(Please insert your comments) Quelques fautes	
	3
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
(Please insert your comment)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
Quelques references à revoir	

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Article bien écrit. Spécifier le traitement chirurgical Revoir la mise en page et quelques fautes grammaticales.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: