

**Paper: “Effet Répulsif de Faibles Concentrations de l’Huile Essentielle de *Clausena anisata* (Rutaceae) Contre les Moustiques Adultes (Diptera: Culicidae)”**

**Submitted: 28 December 2022**

**Accepted: 20 April 2023**

**Published: 30 April 2023**

Corresponding Author: Abagli Zita

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n12p139](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n12p139)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Cyr Lézin Doumbou  
Institut des sciences de La santé, La Cité, Biotechnologie

Reviewer 2: Moroh Akaza  
Université Jean Lorougnon Guédé, Côte d’Ivoire

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name:	
University/Country: Institut des sciences de La santé, La Cité, Biotechnologie	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted: March 13, 2023
Manuscript Title: <b>Effet répulsif de faibles concentrations de l'huile essentielle de <i>Clausena anisata</i> (Rutaceae) contre les moustiques adultes (Diptera : Culicidae)</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<b><i>Rating Result</i></b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<b>Please refer to the attached file for comments.</b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Please refer to the attached file for comments.</b> <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Accepted, minor revision needed</b>	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Please refer to the attached file for comments.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: AKAZA Moroh Joseph	
University/Country: Université Jean Lorougnon Guédé / Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 15 mars 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 23 mars 2023
Manuscript Title: <b>Effet répulsif de faibles concentrations de l'huile essentielle de <i>Clausena anisata</i> (Rutaceae) contre les moustiques (Diptera : Culicidae) au Bénin (Afrique de l'Ouest)</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0123 / 23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	<b>Rating Result</b>
<b>Questions</b>	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(oui, le titre traduit le contenu du manuscrit)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Le résumé doit être en un seul et unique paragraphe. L'objectif, la méthodologie, les résultats et la conclusion doivent être mieux présentés)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(non, pas de fautes grammaticales avérées)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(La description des tests réalisés doit être mieux organisée et plus explicite. Les analyses statistiques doivent être complètes,  Dans la discussion : - des informations doivent être supprimées car soit elles sont superflues, soit elles sont utiles dans d'autres parties ; -des parties doivent être reformulées correctement ; -des parties concernent des résultats non mentionnés dans les RESULTATS.)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Les résultats annoncés ne sont pas présentés.)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(La conclusion ne contient pas les principaux résultats)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Dans la liste, les références ne sont pas présentées selon les Directives de ESJ, en terme de ce qui doit être en italique, les virgule et pp.  Vérifiez que toutes les références sont dans texte.)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Chers auteurs du manuscrit projet d'article qui m'a été soumis pour révision, permettez-moi de vous exhorter à prendre en compte les remarques, modifications, suggestions faites pour améliorer la qualité scientifique. Donnez-vous le temps à y travailler. Boon courage!

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**