

Paper: “Distribution and Carbon Sequestration Potential of *Cola laurifolia* Mast.: A Dominant Native Riparian Species along Permanent Rivers in Sub-Saharan Africa”

Submitted: 01 November 2022

Accepted: 24 April 2023

Published: 30 April 2023

Corresponding Author: Gouwidida Elice Kabore

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n12p166](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n12p166)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are some gramatical errors and spelling in the text. See my comments in the manuscript.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Some errors are in this article. My comments are in the text.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Some references have to be completed.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The authors have to take into account the comments mentioned in the text in order to enhance the quality of the manuscript. Some data mentioned in the text are different with those mentioned in the tables. The tables have to be completed with legends.

Reviewer W:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and in harmony with the content of this article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The section describing methodology must be better developed

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

it's passable

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

No problem

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is accurate and supported by the content but It would be interesting to use hypotheses to better refine the main results of this study

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
