

Paper: “Staying Ahead of the Curve: An Analysis of Strategic Agility and its Role in Ensuring Firm Survival in a Dynamic Business Environment”

Submitted: 30 March 2023

Accepted: 29 April 2023

Published: 31 May 2023

Corresponding Author: Erjona Deshati

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n13p28](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n13p28)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Olivia Achieng
Maseno University, Kenya

Reviewer 2: Yeboah Evans
Nanjing University of Science and Technology, China

Reviewer 3: Fadila Elbichri
Nationale School of Business and Management, Morocco

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes, it is clear and adequate.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

It clearly presents the objects, methods and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No, there are no errors.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods are clearly explained

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

No, it does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

All the factors identified should be clearly discussed in the conclusion.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes, its comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer E:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is well-suited to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

All the necessary elements are well mentioned in the abstract. Results, methodology, and the general idea of the text are all included.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods are well explained. The authors have provided all the necessary elements.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The paper is well written.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is interesting but needs to add the limitations of this research.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The authors used APA format, and the references are updated.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The titles of the tables and figures must be at the top, and the source must be added at the bottom.

Limits of the paper should be added to the abstract and the conclusion.

Reviewer G:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and adequate.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The appropriate words have been used.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods are explained clearly. The systematic process used in selecting literature and its sources is good.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear, and the appropriate choice of words has been used.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is accurate and supports the content. However, the authors should include a conclusion based on their findings.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are adequate, comprehensive, and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The purpose or aim of the paper is missing from the introduction part. The aim of the paper should be stated at the end of the introduction.
