Paper: "The 2008 Sichuan Earthquake and Its Impacts on Economy"

1) YEARS

Submitted: 03 April 2023 Accepted: 10 May 2023 Published: 31 May 2023

Corresponding Author: Hashmatullah Tareen

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n13p49

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kazimierz Albin Klosinski John Paul II Catholic University of Lublin, Poland

Reviewer 2: Hamidreza Izadi Chabahar Maritime University, Iran

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer D: Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

No objectives, no methods, no implications in the abstract.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

good

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

need to be further clarified.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

clear

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Not totally accurate, need further improvement.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

1. it will be good to present yearly damage in term of RMB according to each earthquake since 2008

2. propose at least one or two implications policies for central government,

3. insert the table of the articles and their findings, classified per publication years

4. Additional discussions and some comparison of the earthquakes impacts on economy in other countries may enhance the quality of your empiric review.

Reviewer H: Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of this article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

I don't perceive.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The summary is accurate .

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is comprehensive and appriopriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer J: Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

it is adequate

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

it is good

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There is no spelling mistake and grammatical errors

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

it is good

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

it is good

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The CONCLUSION is accurate and supported by the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

it is ok

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

It is suitable for publication
