

**Paper: “Qui Sont les Femmes en Union Sans Intention d’Utilisation de la Contraception Moderne au Burundi ? Etude du Profil Socio-Démographique à Partir des Données de 2010 et 2016-2017”**

**Submitted: 07 May 2023**

**Accepted: 29 May 2023**

**Published: 31 May 2023**

Corresponding Author: Jean Francois Regis Sindayihebura

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n14p159

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Tanomehsoumylène Ella  
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Abidjan, Côte-d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Cherifatou Biaou  
Université d’Abomey-Calavi, Benin

Reviewer 3: Blinded

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Reviewer Name: BIAOU  |  |
| University/Country: Université d'Abomey-Calavi  |  |
| Date Manuscript Received: 11/05/2023  | Date Review Report Submitted: 21/05/2023 |
| Manuscript Title: <b>Qui Sont les Femmes en Union Sans Intention d'Utilisation de la Contraception Moderne au Burundi ? Etude du Profil Socio-Démographique à Partir des Données de 2010 et 2016-2017</b> |  |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 0532/23  |  |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes   |  |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes  |  |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes  |  |

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i>   | <i>Rating Result</i><br>[Poor] 1-5<br>[Excellent] |
|--|---|
| <b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b> | <b>4</b>  |

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| <i>Le titre du document explique bien son contenu</i>                             |          |
| <b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>             | <b>4</b> |
| <i>Le résumé est clair</i>  |          |
| <b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b> | <b>3</b> |
| <i>Le document est bien rédigé, il contient peu de fautes grammaticales</i>       |          |
| <b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>                                | <b>4</b> |
| <i>La méthodologie est clarifiée et elle est reproductible</i>                    |          |
| <b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>                        | <b>4</b> |
| <i>Les résultats correspondent à l'objectif</i>                                   |          |
| <b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>   | <b>4</b> |
| <i>La conclusion est en cohérence avec les résultats et l'objectif</i>            |          |
| <b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>                       | <b>3</b> |
|   |          |

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

|  |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Accepted, no revision needed               | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Accepted, minor revision needed            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Reject                                     | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

|   |                               |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Reviewer Name: ELLA TANO  |                               |
| University/Country: Côte d'Ivoire   |                               |
| Date Manuscript Received: 11 Mai 2023   | Date Review Report Submitted: |
| Manuscript Title: <b>Qui Sont les Femmes en Union Sans Intention d'Utilisation de la Contraception Moderne au Burundi ? Etude du Profil Socio-Démographique à partir des Données de 2010 et 2016-2017</b> |                               |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 0532-05-2023   |                               |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes   |                               |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes  |                               |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes  |                               |

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i>   | <i>Rating Result</i><br>[Poor] 1-5<br>[Excellent] |
|--|---|
| <b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b> | <b>4</b>  |

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| <i>Le titre est explicite et illustre les facteurs explicatifs de la prévalence des contraceptives chez les femmes en union. Cette étude peut orienter la politique d'action du gouvernement burundais</i> |          |
| <b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>  | <b>4</b> |
| <i>Le résumé est bien élaboré, il en est également pour l'objectif de l'étude. Les méthodes et les résultats sont clairement présentés.</i>  |          |
| <b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>  | <b>3</b> |
| <i>Il y a des coquilles à corriger.</i>  |          |
| <b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>   | <b>3</b> |
| <i>La méthode utilisée pour la collecte des données n'a pas été définie, l'échantillon a été défini.</i>   |          |
| <b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>   | <b>4</b> |
| <i>Les résultats sont bien présentés, ils se réfèrent à l'objectif de l'étude</i>  |          |
| <b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>  | <b>3</b> |
| <i>La conclusion et la discussion devraient être dissociées pour la compréhension des lecteurs</i>   |          |
| <b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>  | <b>3</b> |
| <i>Les références sont bien présentées</i>   |          |

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Accepted, no revision needed               |   |
| Accepted, minor revision needed            | X |
| Return for major revision and resubmission |   |
| Reject                                     |   |

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**