

**Paper: “Aspects Epidémiologique, Diagnostique et Thérapeutique des Urgences Urologiques à l’Hôpital Sounon Sero de Nikki au Bénin”**

**Submitted: 08 March 2023**

**Accepted: 04 May 2023**

**Published: 31 May 2023**

Corresponding Author: Moussa Bori

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n15p57

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Youssouff O.  
Université de Bangui, République Centrafricaine

Reviewer 2: Florentin Nguena  
Université de Bangui, République Centrafricaine

Reviewer 3: Djim Hervey Reoulembaye  
Université de Lomé, Togo

Reviewer 4: Moïse Valimungighe  
Université Catholique du Gabon, RDC

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: REOULEMBAYE Djim Hervey	
University/Country: Université de Lomé/Togo	
Date Manuscript Received: 12/04/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 21/04/2018
Manuscript Title: <b>ASPECTS EPIDEMIOLOGIQUES, DIAGNOSTIQUES, ET THERAPEUTIQUES DES URGENCES UROLOGIQUES A L'HOPITAL SOUNON SERO DE NIKKI.</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0326/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>3,5</b>
<i>Le titre est clair mais l'évaluation de la prise en charge n'a pas été faite car il n'y a pas les résultats de la thérapeutique ou les suites opératoires.</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>2,5</b>
<i>Revoir la discussion selon les normes de ES. ON ne s'accapare pas l'étude.</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>Le temps des verbes de la discussion n'est pas vraiment respecté.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>Etude descriptive à collecte de données prospectives ou rétrospectives? Il faut préciser le type d'étude pour permettre une bonne compréhension de l'article.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Il faut préciser le nombre n à côté des pourcentages dans le résultat.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3,5</b>
<i>Il faut bien préciser le type d'étude au résumé.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3,5</b>
<i>Quelques références ne contiennent pas toutes les informations et une date aussi.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**ESJ des règles de rédaction d'articles qu'il faut nécessairement connaître pour une bonne rédaction.**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

**Organiser des formations en ligne avec des supports pour permettre aux jeunes rédacteurs de faire de très belles rédactions.**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: YOUSOUF	
University/Country: Université de Bangui République Centrafricaine	
Date Manuscript Received: 25/04/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/04/2023
<b>Manuscript Title: ASPECTS EPIDEMIOLOGIQUES, DIAGNOSTIQUES ET THERAPEUTIQUES DES URGENCES UROLOGIQUES A L'HOPITAL SOUNON SERO DE</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	<b>Rating Result</b>
<b>Questions</b>	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>2.5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Le sujet est interessant , mais il faut enrichir l'introduction en précisant l'intérêt du sujet , la méthodologie en spécifiant les moyens utilisés pour les diagnostics Clinique et paracliniques, renforcer la discussion par des données chiffrées et claires des autres auteurs cités.

Revoir les references.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: <b>MUHINDO VALIMUNGIGHE Moïse</b>	
University/Country: <b>UNIVERSITE CATHOLIQUE DU GRABEN/REP DEM DU CONGO</b>	
Date Manuscript Received: <b>24 AVRIL 2023</b>	Date Review Report Submitted: <b>29 AVRIL 2023</b>
<b>Manuscript Title : ASPECTS EPIDEMIOLOGIQUES, DIAGNOSTIQUES ET THERAPEUTIQUES DES URGENCES UROLOGIQUES A L'HOPITAL SOUNON SERO DE NIKKI</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: <b>0326/23</b>	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
------------------	----------------------

	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>ENLEVER LES ACCORDS INUTILES ET PRECISER LE PAYS D'ETUDE SVP</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>RESPECTER LES CORRECTIONS DANS LE RESUME</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>BONNE ECRITURE REDACTIONNELLE</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>AJOUTER LES ELEMENTS CORRIGES SVP</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>AJOUTER LES ELEMENTS CORRIGES DANS LE TEXTE</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>AJOUTER LES ELEMENTS CORRIGES DANS LE TEXTE</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>RESPECTER LES CONSIGNES DU JOURNAL SVP</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

NOUS REMERCIONS L'EQUIPE DE RADACTION  
L'ARTICLE DEVRA ETRE PUBLIE APRES SON AMELIORATION  
METHODOLOGIQUE, DES RESULTATS ET LA DISCUSSION

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: N'guena Ulrich	
University/Country: Bangui ( Centrafrique)	
Date Manuscript Received: 24 /04/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 27/04/2023
Manuscript Title: Aspects Epidémiologiques, diagnostics et thérapeutiques des urgencies urologiques à l'hôpital sounou sero de	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 01	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	



<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Oui le titre me paraît adapté</b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Le résumé doit être améliorer un peu.</b>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>yes</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Le manuscrit est bien rédigé</b>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>yes</b>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Yes pas d'erreur</b>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>Yes</b>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<i>Les references doivent être améliorées</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>YES</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Améliorer le travail surtout au niveau des references, faites des phrases courtes.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

**RAS**