

Paper: “The Effects of Ripening Stage and Mode of Culture of Chemlali, Arbequina, and Koroneiki on the Capacities of Oils to Scavenge ABTS Free Radicals”

Submitted: 07 September 2022

Accepted: 17 May 2023

Published: 31 May 2023

Corresponding Author: Mourad Jellali

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n15p125](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n15p125)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Valeria Alejandra Santa
University of Granada, Spain

Reviewer 2: Aminu Ibrahim
Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes, indicated on the attached reviewed manuscript.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

There are some observations that need to be addressed. As attached.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

There are a few observations.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Needs some recast.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Okay.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Recency and updated references should be given some regards in future.

Reviewer D:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and gives a clear idea of the topic of the work

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract properly presents objects, methods and results

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Methods are clearly explained

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The text does not contain grammatical errors and is easily understood

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusions are related to the work carried out and the results obtained

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

There are citations in the text that are not in the bibliography

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
