

Paper: “Logiques d’Acteurs dans la Gestion des Eaux usées et Assainissement dans la Commune d’Arrondissement de Bafoussam 1er (Ouest-Cameroun)”

Submitted: 14 June 2022

Accepted: 11 May 2023

Published: 31 May 2023

Corresponding Author: Tchouata Tiomele Staël Avila

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n15p149

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Aboudou Djalidou Salifou
University of Parakou, Benin

Reviewer 2: BLA Bénédicte Sonia
Université Félix Houphouëtboigny, Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Honoré Ubald Médégnonmi
UAC, Benin

Reviewer 4: Abdellah Elhmaïdi
Université Moulay Ismaïl, Zitoune, Meknès, Maroc

Reviewer L:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Le titre rend fidèlement compte du contenu de l'article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

le résumé ne doit pas aborder les questions de généralités
les outils et méthodes de collecte de données ne sont pas mentionnées dans le résumé.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

L'auteur a fourni beaucoup d'effort dans la rédaction cependant certains accords verbe sujet lui ont échappé. Il existe des fautes qu'il lui faudra corriger.

III.2.2- Gestion des eaux usées et logique d'assainissement des acteurs privés
paragraphe1; ligne 9 : l'évacuation de celles-ci au lieu de l'évacuation des celles-ci

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Les méthodes d'analyse des données n'ont pas été présentées

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

L'auteur doit harmoniser la numérotation (i ou I). Le type de numérotation choisi doit être appliqué à tout le document.

Les citations entre guillemets doivent être en italique.

Introduction : paragraphe 2 ligne 7 à 13

phrase trop longue;

la citation doit être entre guillemets;

revoir la source (étant donné que c'est une loi, la source ne devrait pas être anonyme)

i. Présentation de la zone d'étude

Paragraphe 1; ligne 1: la présente étude a été réalisé..... au lieu de la présente étude est localisée....

ii.2.1.2- Collecte des données sur le terrain

- Les entretiens libres

l'auteur ne précise pas les points abordés dans les entretiens et le type d'entretien (entretien individuel ou collectif, entretien directif ou semi-directif)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

La conclusion ne rend pas compte du contenu de l'article. Ni le problème de recherche, ni les méthodes de collecte de données, ni les résultats ne sont abordés .

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Les références bibliographiques ne répondent pas aux normes recommandées (normes APA). Ainsi, l'auteur doit revoir la bibliographie sur certains points notamment : harmoniser la citation des noms des auteurs dans la bibliographie. (Nom de l'auteur + première lettre d'un prénom)
éviter les abréviations du nom des ministères ou des institutions internationales

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Présenter les méthodes d'analyse, harmoniser la présentation des titres et les références bibliographiques. Prendre en compte les observations avant publication.

Reviewer X:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes! the title is clear and matches very well with the content

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes!

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes there are few grammatical errors in this article

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methodology is clear without any confusion.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors, except some grammatical errors

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is precise and reflect the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes!

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer \:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes, The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No, there are no grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes. It's ok.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes, the body of the paper is very clear and does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes, The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content. Only the future perspectives of this research are missing.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes, The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The article is well written with a good style of French. The content is clear and easy to read. It is based on field, laboratory and various documentation work. Perhaps for a geographer, the results in the form of maps could have been more beneficial for the manuscript.

Reviewer ^:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

oui

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

oui

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

oui il y a quelques fautes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

oui

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

oui

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

oui

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Sauf erreur de lecture Je n'ai pas pu identifier les auteurs MINEE, NKIKAM et RODIER utilisés dans le corps du texte et qui ne figurent dans la Bibliographie

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Bon article; bien rédigé dans un langage soutenu et accessible. Prière de corriger les fautes et d'ajuster la mise en forme du document
