

Paper: "Aspects Cliniques et Épidémiologiques des Pathologies Ano Rectale au Chu Communautaire de Bangui (République Centrafricaine) Durant la Période de 23/05/2022 au 23/03/2023"

Submitted: 03 May 2023 Accepted: 25 May 2023 Published: 31 May 2023

Corresponding Author: Youssouf Oumarou

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n15p189

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Segbedji Rene Université de Kara, Togo

Reviewer 2: Francis Bararmna Université de Lome, Togo

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer 4: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date 07/05/2020	Manuscript	Received:	Date 09/05/2		Report	Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Ano rectal pathology in the community Chu of Bangui (Central African Republic)						
ESJ Manus	ESJ Manuscript Number: 25.05.2023					
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No						
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No						
You approve,	, this review report is	available in th	e "review	history" of th	e paper: Ye	s/No

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4

(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	1
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: May 07, 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: May 11, 2023		
Manuscript Title:			
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0525/23			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No			
You approve, this review report is available in t	he "review history" of the paper: Yes/No		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
The suggestions for improvement have been indicated within the manuscript.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	1

Suggestions for improvement have been indicated within the m	anuscript.
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
The language is good, but the organization and connection bet needs to be reworked by the authors.	ween paragraphs
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	1
Suggestions for improvement have been indicated within the m	anuscript.
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
Suggestions for improvement have been indicated within the m	anuscript.
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
The references used are very old	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- -Please correct the corrections indicated in the manuscript.
- -Please update the reference list between 2016-2023
- -Please expand the "Introduction" section; clarify the "Methods" section; reorganize the "Results" section; review the "Discussion" section. And to add the ethical approval of this study and the patient images used in this manuscript.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The authors state that the study is retrospective and consists of examining the patients to study the clinical and epidemiological aspects of Anorectal pathologies. In reviewing the work, it is noted that the article presents clinical examinations performed on humans and inserts photos of patients without the consent of the individuals involved. The authors must have the consent of the patients for such a publication. Ethical approval is unaccountable.

Furthermore, although the study is recent, the bibliography used is very old. It was necessary to update the references used. Further suggestions regarding the title, abstract, introduction, methods, results, and discussion are detailed in the main manuscript.

I did not like to reject the work, since the study is current.

For us, for the work to be accepted, the suggestions for improvement must be executed mandatorily; furthermore, the study must have ethical approval.

NB: I do not know the authors, all my comments were made with the aim of improving the scientific and academic quality of the manuscript.

Best regards,

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: BARARMNA-BAGOU Francis Midima				
University/Country: Lomé/Togo				
Date Manuscript Received: 07.05.2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 14.05.2023			
Manuscript Title: La pathologie Communautaire de Bangui (Répu				
ESJ Manuscript Number: 25.05.2023				
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes				
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes				
You approve, this review report is available in the "review	You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes			

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: