

**Paper: “Usage des TIC dans l’Approvisionnement de la Région du Haut-sassandra en Produits Carburants”**

**Submitted: 01 November 2022**

**Accepted: 13 June 2023**

**Published: 30 June 2023**

Corresponding Author: Konan Amani

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n17p49](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n17p49)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Atsé Laudose Miguel Eleazarus  
Université Jean Lorougnon Guede-Daloa, Côte d’Ivoire

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Date Manuscript Received: 13 Avril 2023   | Date Review Report Submitted: 23 Avril 2023 |
| Manuscript Title: USAGE DES TIC DANS L'APPROVISIONNEMENT DE LA RÉGION DU HAUT-SASSANDRA EN FRETS PORTUAIRES D'ABIDJAN : CAS DES PRODUITS CARBURANTS |   |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 50.11.2022   |   |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>No</b>   |   |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b>                                   |   |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b>   |   |

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i>   | <i>Rating Result</i><br>[Poor] 1-5<br>[Excellent] |
|--|---|
| <b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b> | <b>4</b>  |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>   |   |

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| <b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>  | <b>2</b> |
| La qualité de la démarche méthodologique doit être améliorée en tenant compte de toutes les techniques de collecte des données utilisées. Les résultats sont moins explicites. |          |
| <b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>  | <b>3</b> |
| Des fautes grammaticales et d'orthographe rendent difficiles la lecture de l'article.  |          |
| <b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>   | <b>2</b> |
| Nous déplorons la maîtrise et finesse des techniques de collecte des informations.   |          |
| <b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>   |          |
| Les résultats doivent être réorganisés en tenant compte de l'objectif visé et des hypothèses formulées.  |          |
| <b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>  | <b>3</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>   |          |
| <b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>  | <b>4</b> |
| La présentation des références reste à améliorer.  |          |

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

|  |                          |
|--|--------------------------|
| Accepted, no revision needed               | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Accepted, minor revision needed            | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reject                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> |

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**