

Paper: “Perception Paysanne de la Durabilité des Exploitations Cotonnières du Nord-Bénin”

Submitted: 27 March 2023

Accepted: 14 June 2023

Published: 30 June 2023

Corresponding Author: Oscar Assa Kindemin

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n16p49

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mamadou Youssouf Thiam

Institut fondamental d'Afrique noire Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Sénégal

Reviewer 2: Marwane El Halaissi

Université Mohammed V, Morocco

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer 4: Blinded

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes, the title is clear and adequately reflects the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

This introduce the main topics of discussion .

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes, the article primarily presents a discussion and analysis .

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the article appears to be well-written and coherent, presenting arguments.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion or summary appears to be accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The title is clear and adequately reflects the content of the article.
The article primarily presents a discussion and analysis.
The body of the article appears to be well-written and coherent, presenting arguments.

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

In my opinion, I think that title is very clear and depicts the main idea that the author is trying to relay through this article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

It does, the author presented the above-mentioned elements in a clear style.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

the writing style is correct, well-phrased, and easy to read. No spelling mistakes were spotted.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods are well explained.
He didn't well present the research design In my opinion, it would be more interesting to pinpoint the approach adopted, and the research nature... Since he used mixed-methods

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Navigating between the article components seems to be fluid

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Well written and supported by the produced results.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

In my opinion, the selected references are adequate to the research question and to the research theme. The citation method is respected.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
