Paper: **"DeFi, Blockchain and Cryptocurrencies: Proposing a Global Money Matrix for the Blockchain Era"**

Corresponding Author: Jihane Tayazime

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: David Umoru
Benson Idahosa University, Nigeria

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and adequate to the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Abstract is complete and preeents objects methods and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are few grammatical mistakes. A quick revision is needed.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

As this is an integrated literature review the method is clear without a need of a paragraph.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

A few grammatical mistakes should be corrected. Other than that the body is veru detailed and clear.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is adequate to the article.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

References are appropriate and follows the norms.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer F:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

clear and adeuqate

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Please the Abstract should provide a short description of the research problem, purpose of the research, methodology used, main findings of the paper, conclusion, relevance of the paper.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The paper requires English proofreading (language and typos) so that your paper may be read and cited by a wide audience of readers throughout the world. The services of a Grammarian or a Grammarly handler should be sort

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Research Methodology section should also contain adequate description of the methods applied in terms of its module operandi and its suitability for conducting the research.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The "Result" section should also include further discussions of policy implications of at least 5 paragraphs. In general, please, implications of the results, currently they are quite short.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Provide more detailed conclusions in line with results and implications. Do well also to specify more deeply why this paper is important for future research and whether there are some limitations of the research.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Please ensure all referenced authors are enlisted in the reference section.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Literature review needs more in-depth conceptual discussion on the subject matter. Literature sources are rather old, review of empirical findings mainly concentrate on African data. Gaps in the literature section should be identified.

Reviewer J:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract clearly presents objects, methods, and results. Detailed and comprehensible.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Minor grammatical errors should be corrected.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods are explained clearly as it is a literature review it is very clear what the author intends.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):